



Received: 31.05.2018

Published: 01.01.2019

Year: 2019, Number: 26, Pages: 54-63

Original Article

Summability of Fourier Series and its Derived Series by Matrix Means

Abdelhadi Mohammad Karazon* <abdelhadi198727@gmail.com>
 Mohammad Mahmoud Amer <amer09656@gmail.com>

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Baath University, Homs, Syria.

Abstract – This Paper introduces the concept of matrix operators and establishes two new theorems on matrix summability of Fourier series and its derived series. the results obtained in the paper further extend several known results on linear operators. Various types of criteria, under varying conditions, for the matrix summability of the Fourier series, In this paper quite a different and general type of criterion for summability of the Fourier Series has been obtained, in the theorem function f is integrable in the sense of Lebesgue to the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and period with period 2π .

Keywords – *Summability, matrix summability, Fourier series, derived Fourier series.*

1. Introduction

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ be a given infinite series with sequence of partial sum $\{S_n\}$. Let $A = (a_{n,k})$ be an infinite triangular matrix of real constants, The sequence-to-sequence transformation [4].

$$t_n^A = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} S_k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} S_{n-k} \quad (1)$$

Defines the sequence t_n^A of matrix means of the sequence $\{S_n\}$, generated by the sequence of coefficients $(a_{n,k})$. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ is said to be summable to the sum S by We can write $t_n^A \rightarrow S (A), as n \rightarrow \infty$.

The necessary and sufficient conditions for A -transform to be regular

$$(i. e. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = S \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^A = S)$$

are the well-known Silverman-Toeplitz conditions? [1][4] where the triangular matrix $A = (a_{n,k}), n, k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$ and $a_{n,k} = 0$ for $k > n$ is regular if

* Corresponding Author.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = 0 \quad ; k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |a_{n,k}| \leq M \quad ; n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ (M independent of n)}$$

and

$$t_n^{AB} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,r} S_r.$$

Examples:

(1). Matrix Hankel [2] . Let $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a positive sequence of real constants let

$$H = (a_{n,k}) = (h_{n+k-1})$$

2). Matrix Toeplitz [4] $T = (a_{n,k}) \quad ; (a_{n,k} = 0 \quad ; k > n)$ (Thus from (1), We get [2]

$$(1). \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n = A \quad (T) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^\infty a u_n = aA \quad (T)$$

$$(2). \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n = A_1(T) \ \& \ \sum_{n=0}^\infty v_n = A_2(T) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^\infty (u_n + v_n) = A_1 + A_2 (T)$$

$$(3). \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n = A_1 (S) \ \wedge \ \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n = A_2 (T) \Rightarrow A_1 = A_2$$

Proof (3): Now by (1) we have

$$(R) = (r_{m,n}) = (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,n} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,n-1} + \dots + a_{m,n-1} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,n} \cdot b_{m,0})$$

$$t_m = \sum_{k=0}^m r_{m,k} \cdot S_k$$

$$\Rightarrow t_m = r_{m,0} \cdot S_0 + r_{m,1} \cdot S_1 + r_{m,2} \cdot S_2 + \dots + r_{m,m-1} \cdot S_{m-1} + r_{m,m} \cdot S_m$$

$$\Rightarrow t_m = a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,0}(S_0) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,0}) \cdot (S_1) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,2} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,2} \cdot b_{m,0})(S_2) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,3} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,2} + a_{m,2} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,3} \cdot b_{m,0}) \cdot (S_3) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,4} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,3} + a_{m,2} \cdot b_{m,2} + a_{m,3} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,4} \cdot b_{m,0}) \cdot (S_4) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,m} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,m-1} + a_{m,2} \cdot b_{m,m-2} + \dots + a_{m,m-2} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,m-1} \cdot b_{m,0}) \cdot (S_{m-1}) + (a_{m,0} \cdot b_{m,m-1} + a_{m,1} \cdot b_{m,m-2} + a_{m,2} \cdot b_{m,m-3} + \dots + a_{m,m-1} \cdot b_{m,1} + a_{m,m} \cdot b_{m,0}) \cdot (S_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow t_m = a_{m,0} \cdot (b_{m,0} \cdot S_0 + b_{m,1} \cdot S_1 + b_{m,2} \cdot S_2 + b_{m,3} \cdot S_3 + b_{m,4} \cdot S_4 + \dots + b_{m,m-1} \cdot S_{m-1} + b_{m,m} \cdot S_m) + a_{m,1} \cdot (b_{m,0} \cdot S_1 + b_{m,1} \cdot S_2 + b_{m,2} \cdot S_3 + b_{m,3} \cdot S_4 + \dots + b_{m,m-2} \cdot S_{m-1} + b_{m,m-1} \cdot S_m) + a_{m,2} \cdot (b_{m,0} \cdot S_2 + b_{m,1} \cdot S_3 + b_{m,2} \cdot S_4 + b_{m,3} \cdot S_5 + \dots + b_{m,m-3} \cdot S_{m-1} + b_{m,m-2} \cdot S_m) + \dots + a_{m,m-1} \cdot (b_{m,0} \cdot S_{m-1} + b_{m,1} \cdot S_m) + a_{m,m} \cdot (b_{m,0} \cdot S_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow t_m = a_{m,0} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+0} + a_{m,1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+1} + a_{m,2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+2} + \dots + a_{m,m-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-(m-1)} b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+m-1} + a_{m,m} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-m} b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+m}$$

$$\Rightarrow t_m = \sum_{n=0}^m a_{m,n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-n} b_{m,k} \cdot S_{k+n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_{m,n} \cdot A_2 = A_2$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n = A_2(R) \quad ; (R) = (r_{m,n})$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n = A_1(R) \Rightarrow A_2 = A_2$$

2 Preliminaries

Theorem 2.1. Let $A = (a_{n,k}), B = (b_{n,k})$ be an infinite triangular matrix with $a_{n,k} \geq 0, b_{n,k} \geq 0$ then $t_n^{AB} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_r)$ Where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_r)$ Space call to bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{A}_r, T: \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\mathcal{A}_r = \{(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{r-1} \cdot |u_n|^r < \infty; u_n = \Delta s_n = s_n - s_{n-1}\}$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let τ_n^{AB} mn-denote the mn-term of the AB-transform, in terms of $(n+1)u_n$, that is $\tau_n^{AB} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} (j+1)u_j = (n+1)(t_n^{AB} - t_{n-1}^{AB})$ to prove the theorem, it will be sufficient to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\tau_n^{AB}|^r < \infty$ Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\tau_n^{AB}|^r &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} (j+1)u_j \right|^r \leq \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} (j+1)^r |u_j|^r &\times \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} \right\}^{r-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\tau_n^{AB}|^r &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} (j+1)u_j \right|^r \leq \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{j=0}^k b_{k,j} (j+1)^r |u_j|^r &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)^r |u_j|^r \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \cdot b_{k,j} \end{aligned}$$

For $m, n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \cdot b_{k,j} = \frac{1}{j+1}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\tau_n^{AB}|^r = O(1) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)^r |u_j|^r \frac{1}{j+1} = O(1) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)^r |u_j|^r = O(1) < \infty$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (1).

3 Particular Cases

Several authors such as ([4]-[6]), (see also [7]) studied the matrix summability method and obtained many interesting results.

The important particular cases of the triangular matrix means are:

(i) Cesàro mean of order 1 or $(C, 1)$ mean if, $a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n+1}$.

(ii) Harmonic means $(H, 1)$ when, $a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{(n-k+1) \log n}$.

(iii) (C, δ) where $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ means when, $a_{n,k} = \frac{\binom{n-k+\delta+1}{\delta-1}}{\binom{n+\delta}{\delta}}$.

(v) Nörlund means (N, p_n) when, $a_{n,k} = \frac{p_{n-k}}{P_n}$ where $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(vi) Riesz means (\bar{N}, p_n) when, $a_{n,k} = \frac{p_k}{P_n}$ where $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4 Results and Discussion

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π , integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over $[-\pi, \pi]$. The Fourier series and derived Fourier series of $f(t)$ are given by [3][4][5]

$$f(t) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x)$$

With partial sums s_n and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \tag{2}$$

We shall use following notations

$$\tau = \text{Integral part of } \frac{1}{t} = \left[\frac{1}{t} \right].$$

We use the following notations

$$\phi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)$$

$$g(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t) - 2tf(x)$$

$$K_{AB}(n,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \frac{\sin(k-r+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t}$$

$$M_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot \frac{\sin(n-k+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \tag{3}$$

$$\tau = \text{Integral part of } \frac{1}{t} = \left[\frac{1}{t} \right].$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a real non-negative and non-increasing sequence of real constants such that $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty; (n \rightarrow \infty)$ and $A = (a_{n,k}), B = (b_{k,r})$ be an infinite triangular matrix with $a_{n,k} \geq 0$, If

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = o\left(\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)P_\tau}\right), \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0, \tau = \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of $t \rightarrow +0$

$$\log n = O(\alpha(n) \cdot P_n); (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n \frac{B_{n,t}}{t \alpha(t) P_t} dt = O(1); B_{n,\tau} = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} b_{n,n-k} = \sum_{k=n-\tau}^n b_{n,k}$$

Then the Fourier series (1) is summable AB to $f(x)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\{a_{n,k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real non-negative and non-decreasing sequence with respect to k such that $T = (a_{n,k})$ be an infinite triangular matrix with $a_{n,k} \geq 0$. If

$$\int_0^t |dg(u)| = o\left(\frac{t \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right), \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0$$

Then the derived Fourier Series (2) is sumable (T) to the sum $\hat{f}(x)$, where $\hat{f}(x)$ is the derivative of $f(x)$, provided $\alpha(t)$ is a positive monotonic decreasing function of $t \rightarrow +0$ such $\frac{t \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}$ increases monotonically as $t \rightarrow +0$.

For the proof of our theorems, following lemmas are required.

Lemma 4.1. [5] If $\{a_{n,k}\}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing with k then for $0 \leq t \leq \pi, 0 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and for any n , we have $|\sum_{k=a}^b a_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(n-k)t}| \leq O(A_{n,\tau})$ Where $A_{n,\tau} = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} a_{n,n-k}, A_{n,n} = 1 (\forall n \geq 0)$.

Lemma 4.2. [5] If $\{b_{n,k}\}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing with k then for $0 \leq t \leq \pi, 0 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and for any n , we have $|\sum_{k=a}^b b_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(n-k)t}| \leq O(B_{n,\tau})$ Where $B_{n,\tau} = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} b_{n,n-k}, B_{n,n} = 1 (\forall n \geq 0)$.

Lemma 4.3. For $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n}, K_{AB}(n, t) = O(n)$.

Proof. For $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n}, \sin(n+1)t \leq (n+1)t, \left[\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \leq \frac{\pi}{t}$

$$K_{AB}(n, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \frac{\sin\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \frac{|\sin\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t|}{\left|\sin\frac{1}{2}t\right|} \leq \frac{2n+1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r}$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} = 1$

Thus $K_{AB}(n, t) = O(n)$

Lemma 4.4. For $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \delta < \pi, K_{AB}(n, t) = O\left(\frac{B_{n,\tau}}{t}\right); \tau \leq n$

Proof. $K_{AB}(n, t) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \frac{\sin\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \right|$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \sin\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t \right|; \text{ (by Jordan's lemma)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} e^{i\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(k-r)t} \cdot e^{i\frac{t}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \left| \sum_{r=0}^k b_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(k-r)t} \right| ; \left| e^{i\frac{t}{2}} \right| = 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} O(B_{k,\tau}); \text{ by lemma (1)} \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{B_{n,\tau}}{t}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \\ &= O\left(\frac{B_{n,\tau}}{t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. For $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \delta < \pi$, $M_n(t) = O\left(\frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t}\right)$; $\tau \leq n$.

Proof. Now by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(t) &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot \frac{\sin\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \left| \operatorname{Im} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)t} \right| \leq \\ &\frac{\pi}{t} \left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(n-k)t} \cdot e^{i\frac{t}{2}} \right| \text{ (by Jordan's lemma)} \leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot e^{i(n-k)t} \right| ; \left| e^{i\frac{t}{2}} \right| \leq \\ &1 \\ &= \frac{\pi}{t} \cdot O(A_{n,\tau}) \text{ by lemma (1)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $M_n(t) = O(n)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $s_n(x)$ denote the n^{th} partial sum of the (1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt \\ t_n^B - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k} \frac{\sin\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \\ t_n^{AB} - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,r} \frac{\sin\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,k-r} \frac{\sin\left(k-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \right\} dt = \int_0^\pi \phi(t) K_{AB}(n, t) dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\delta + \int_\delta^\pi \right) \phi(t) K_{AB}(n, t) dt \\ &= I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3} \\ I_{1,1} &\leq |I_{1,1}| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\phi(t)| \cdot |K_{AB}(n, t)| dt = O(n) \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\phi(t)| dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} &= O(n) \cdot \left\{ o\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}}{\alpha(n) \cdot P_n}\right) \right\} = o\left(\frac{1}{\alpha(n) \cdot P_n}\right) \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) = o(1); (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ I_{1,2} &\leq |I_{1,2}| \leq O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\delta |\phi(t)| |K_{AB}(n, t)| dt\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} |\phi(t)| \frac{B_{n,t}}{t} dt\right)$$

By Lemma 4.4

Integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,2} &\leq O\left\{\left(\frac{B_{n,t}}{t} \cdot \Phi(t) \Big|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta}\right) - \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B_{n,t}}{t}\right) \Phi(t) dt\right\} \\ &= O\left\{o\left(\frac{B_{n,t}}{t} \frac{t}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t})P_t} \Big|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta}\right) + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{B_{n,t}}{t^2} \frac{t}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t})P_t} dt \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \frac{t}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t})P_t} d(B_{n,\tau})\right\} \\ &\quad \left(u = \frac{1}{t}\right) \\ I_{1,2} &= O\left\{o\left(\frac{B_{n, \left[\frac{1}{\delta}\right]}}{\alpha(\frac{1}{\delta})P_{\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\right]}}\right) + o\left(\frac{B_{n,n}}{\alpha(n)P_n}\right) + o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n \frac{B_{n,u}}{u \cdot \alpha(u)P_u} du\right) + o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n \frac{1}{\alpha(u)P_u} d(B_{n,u})\right)\right\} \\ &\leq O\left\{o(1) + o(1) + o\left(\int_1^n \frac{B_{n,u}}{u \cdot \alpha(u)P_u} du\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\alpha(n)P_n}\right) \left(\int_1^n d(B_{n,u})\right)\right\} = O\{o(1) + o(1) + \\ &\quad o(O(1)) + o(1)B_{n,n}\} = O\{o(1) + o(1) + o(1) + o(1)\} = o(1); \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, by the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and the regularity condition of matrix summability, we obtain

$$I_{1,3} \leq |I_{1,3}| \leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |K_{AB}(n,t)| dt = o(1) \quad ; \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Next

$$t_n^{AB} - f(x) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{t_n^{AB} - f(x)\} = 0$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $\hat{s}_n(x)$ denote the n^{th} partial sum of the (2). Then

$$\hat{s}_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dg(t) + \hat{f}(x)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_n(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n k(b_k \cos kx - a_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(\sin ku \cdot \cos kx - \\ &\quad \cos ku \cdot \sin kx) \cdot f(u) du = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin k(u-x) \cdot f(u) du = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(u) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n 2k \sin k(u-x) du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(u) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n 2k \sin k(x-u) du \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \sin ky = -\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})y}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}y} \right)$$

Next

$$\sum_{k=1}^n 2k \sin k(x-u) = -2 \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right) = 2 \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right\} \cdot f(u) du = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(u) \cdot \left\{ \frac{d}{du} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right\} du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi}^0 f(u) \left\{ \frac{d}{du} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right\} du + \int_0^{\pi} f(u) \left\{ \frac{d}{du} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})(x-u)}{\sin\frac{1}{2}(x-u)} \right\} du \right) = G_1 + G_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\hat{s}_n(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \right\} dt$$

Integrating by parts

$$\hat{s}_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} d\{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\}$$

where

$$\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}t} = \frac{1}{2} + \cos t + \cos 2t + \cos 3t + \dots + \cos nt = D_n(t) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t) - 2t\hat{f}(x) &\Rightarrow f(x+t) - f(x-t) = g(t) + 2t\hat{f}(x) \\ &\Rightarrow d\{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} = dg(t) + 2\hat{f}(x)dt + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dg(t) + 2\hat{f}(x) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt \\ \Rightarrow \hat{s}_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dg(t) + \hat{f}(x) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{s}_n(x) - \hat{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dg(t) \\ \Rightarrow \hat{s}_{n-k}(x) - \hat{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n-k+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dg(t) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \{ \hat{s}_{n-k}(x) - \hat{f}(x) \} \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} dg(t) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot \frac{\sin(n-k+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \\ &= \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \right) dg(t) \cdot M_n(t) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} dg(t) \cdot M_n(t) = O\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |dg(t)| \cdot |M_n(t)|\right) = O\left(n \cdot \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |dg(t)|\right) = O\left(n \cdot o\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\log\frac{1}{n}}\right)\right) \\ &= O\left(n \cdot o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n}\right)\right) = o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) = o(1) ; (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, by the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and the regularity condition of matrix sumability, we obtain

$$I_3 \leq |I_3| = \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |M_n(t)| \cdot |dg(t)| = o(1), \text{ as } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$I_2 \leq \left| \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} dg(t) \cdot M_n(t) \right| = o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} |dg(t)| \cdot |M_n(t)|\right) = o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t} \cdot |dg(t)|\right)$$

Integrating by parts, where $u = \frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t}$, $dv = dg(t)$. Therefore

$$I_2 = o\left(\frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t} \cdot o\left(\frac{t \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)\Bigg|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta}\right) + o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t^2} \cdot o\left(\frac{t \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right) dt\right) + o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \cdot o\left(\frac{t \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right) d(A_{n,\tau})\right)$$

(Using condition)

$$\Rightarrow I_2 \leq o\left(A_{n,\tau} \frac{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\Bigg|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{A_{n,\tau}}{t^2} dt\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{d(A_{n,\tau})}{t}\right)$$

where

$$\frac{t \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}} = \frac{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \log \frac{1}{t}} \text{ increases monotonically as } t \rightarrow +0.$$

$$\Rightarrow I_2 \leq o(1) + o\left(A_{n,n} \cdot \frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} A_{n,u} du\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} u \cdot d(A_{n,u})\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow I_2 = o(1) + o\left(O\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right)\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot (u \cdot A_{n,u})\Bigg|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} u \cdot d(A_{n,u})\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} u \cdot d(A_{n,u})\right)$$

Integrating by parts, where $u_1 = A_{n,u}$, $dv_1 = du$

$$\Rightarrow I_2 \leq o(1) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot n \cdot A_{n,n}\right) + o(1) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} u \cdot d(A_{n,u})\right) = o(1) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n \cdot \log n} \cdot n \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} d(A_{n,u})\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow I_2 \leq o(1) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\alpha(n)}{\log n} \cdot A_{n,n}\right) ; A_{n,n} = 1$$

$$= o(1) + o(1) + o(1) = o(1) ; (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \{ \hat{s}_{n-k}(x) - \hat{f}(x) \} = o(1) ; (n \rightarrow \infty) \Rightarrow \hat{t}_n(x) - \hat{f}(x) = o(1) ; (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where

$$\hat{t}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,n-k} \cdot \hat{s}_{n-k}(x)$$

Next

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{t}_n(x) = \hat{f}(x)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Conclusions

One of the most important outcomes of this study is that the product of any two matrix methods of the methods of summability is a matrix method and that this method is a bounded linear operator which transforms each sequence of a given space to a sequence of the space itself. And

$$t_n^{AB} \neq t_n^{A \cdot B}$$

where

$$t_n^{AB} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sum_{r=0}^k b_{k,r} s_r = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^k a_{n,k} b_{k,r} s_r, t_n^{A \cdot B} = \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} b_{k,r} s_r$$

The third characteristic of the matrix method showed that, no matter how different the method used to collect the studied series, we would obtain the same sum for that series. We have demonstrated two theorems. The first speaks of the sum of Fourier series using product matrix methods, and the second speaks of the sum of a Fourier series derivative using a matrix method only.

References

- [1] B. P. Padhy, B. Mallik, U. K. Misra and M. Misrapaikray and U. Misra, On product summability of Fourier series using 3, (2016), 191-195.
- [2] A. Alotibi, M. Mursaleen, Applications of Hankel and regular metrics in Fourier series, (2013), 1-3.
- [3] H. K. Nigam, K. Sharma, On double dummability of double conjugate Fourier series 2012, (2012), 1-15.
- [4] S. Lal, P. Yadav, Matrix summability of the conjugate series of derived Fourier series 33, (2002), 35-43.
- [5] S. Lal, on the degree of approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to weighted $W(L_p, \xi(t))$ class by matrix summability means of conjugate series of a fourier series 31, (2000), 279-288.
- [6] A. Zygmund Trigonometric Series, vol I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1, 2, (1959), 74-124.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, (1949), 65-66.