

# On Right ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-Jordan Ideals and One Sided Generalized Derivations

Evrim GÜVEN

Kocaeli University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Kocaeli, Türkiye, <u>evrim@kocaeli.edu.tr</u> ORCID Address: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5256-4447

### Abstract

Let R be a prime ring with characteristic not 2 and  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma$  automorphisms of R. Let h: R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero left (resp. right)-generalized ( $\alpha$ , $\beta$ )-derivation, b \in R and U, V nonzero right ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-Jordan ideals of R. In this article we have investigated the following situations:

(1)  $bh(\gamma(U))=0$ , (2)  $h(\gamma(U))b=0$ , (3)  $h(\gamma(U))=0$ , (4) U $\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(V)$ , (5)  $bh(I)\subset V$ 

 $C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $h(I)b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ , (6)  $bV \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $Vb \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ .

*Keywords*: Prime Ring, Generalized Derivation,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan Ideal.

## Sağ (σ,τ)-Jordan İdealler ve Tek Yanlı Genelleştirilmiş Türevler Üzerine

## Özet

R, karakteristiği 2 den farklı bir asal halka ve  $\sigma,\tau,\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu,\gamma$  dönüşümleri R üzerinde otomorfizmler olsunlar. h:R $\rightarrow$ R sıfırdan farklı bir sol (sağ)-genelleştirilmiş ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-türev, b $\in$ R ve U ile V, R halkasının sıfırdan farklı sağ ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-Jordan idealleri olsunlar. Bu makalede, aşağıdaki durumları araştırdık:

(1)  $bh(\gamma(U))=0$ , (2)  $h(\gamma(U))b=0$ , (3)  $h(\gamma(U))=0$ , (4) U $\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(V)$ , (5)  $bh(I)\subset V$ 

Received: 28 May 2018

$$C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$$
 or  $h(I)b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ , (6)  $bV \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $Vb \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ .

Anahtar Kelimeler: Asal Halka, Genelleştirilmiş Türev,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan Ideal.

### 1. Introduction

Let R be a ring and  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  two mappings of R. For each r, s  $\in$  R set  $[r,s]_{\sigma,\tau} = r\sigma(s) - \tau(s)r$ and  $(r,s)_{\sigma,\tau} = r\sigma(s) + \tau(s)r$ . Let U be an additive subgroup of R. If  $(U, R) \subset U$  then U is called a Jordan ideal of R. The definition of  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R is introduced in [7] as follows: (i) U is called a right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R if  $(U,R)_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$ , (ii) U is called a left  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal if  $(R,U)_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$ . (iii) U is called a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal if U is both right and left  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R. Every Jordan ideal of R is a (1,1)-Jordan ideal of R, where 1:R $\rightarrow$ R is the identity map. The following example is given in [7]. Let Z be the set of integers. If  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | x, y \in Z \right\}, U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | x \in Z \right\}, \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$  and  $\tau \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ , then U is  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Jordan ideal but not a

Jordan ideal of R.

A derivation d is an additive mapping on R which satisfies d(rs)=d(r)s+rd(s),  $\forall r$ ,  $s\in R$ . The notion of generalized derivation was introduced by Brešar [2] as follows. An additive mapping h: R $\rightarrow$ R will be called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation d of R such that h(xy)=h(x)y+xd(y), for all x,  $y\in R$ .

An additive mapping d:R $\rightarrow$ R is said to be a ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation if d(rs)=d(r) $\sigma$ (s)+ $\tau$ (r)d(s) for all r, s $\in$ R. Every derivation d:R $\rightarrow$ R is a (1,1)-derivation. Chang [3] gave the following definition. Let R be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  automorphisms of R and d:R $\rightarrow$ R a ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation. An additive mapping h:R $\rightarrow$ R is said to be a right generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=h(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)d(y), for all x, y $\in$ R and h is said to be a left generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y $\in$ R. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y $\in$ R. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y \inR. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y \inR. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y \inR. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y \inR. h is said to be a generalized ( $\sigma,\tau$ )-derivation of R associated with d if h(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+ $\tau$ (x)h(y), for all x, y \inR. According to Chang's definition, every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation d:R $\rightarrow$ R is a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation associated with d and every derivation d:R $\rightarrow$ R is a generalized (1,1)derivation associated with d. A generalized (1,1)-derivation is simply called a generalized derivation. Every right generalized (1,1)-derivation is a right generalized derivation and every left generalized (1,1)-derivation is a left generalized derivation.

The definition of generalized derivation which is given in [2] is a right generalized derivation associated with derivation d according to Chang's definition.

The mapping  $h(r)=(a,r)_{\sigma,\tau}$  for all  $r\in R$  is a left-generalized  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -derivation associated with  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -derivation  $d_1(r)=[a,r]_{\sigma,\tau}$  for all  $r\in R$  and right-generalized  $(\sigma,\tau)$ derivation associated with  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -derivation  $d(r)=-[a,r]_{\sigma,\tau}$  for all  $r\in R$ .

In this paper we generalized some results which are given in [6, 8, 9, 10].

Throughout the paper, R will be a prime ring with center Z, characteristic not 2 and  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma$  automorphisms of R. We set  $C_{\sigma,\tau}(R)=\{c\in R \mid c\sigma(r)=\tau(r)c, \forall r\in R\}$ and shall use the following relations frequently.

$$\begin{split} & [rs,t]_{\sigma,\tau} = r[s,t]_{\sigma,\tau} + [r,\tau(t)]s = r[s,\sigma(t)] + [r,t]_{\sigma,\tau}s \\ & [r,st]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(s)[r,t]_{\sigma,\tau} + [r,s]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(t) \\ & (rs,t)_{\sigma,\tau} = r(s,t)_{\sigma,\tau} - [r,\tau(t)]s = r[s,\sigma(t)] + (r,t)_{\sigma,\tau}s. \\ & (r,st)_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(s)(r,t)_{\sigma,\tau} + [r,s]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(t) = -\tau(s)[r,t]_{\sigma,\tau} + (r,s)_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(t) \end{split}$$

### 2. Results

We begin with the following known results, which will be used to prove our theorems.

**Lemma 1** [5, Lemma 7] Let I be a nonzero ideal of R and a, b∈R. If h:R→R is a nonzero left-generalized ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-derivation associated with ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-derivation d:R→R such that [h(I)a,b]<sub> $\lambda,\mu$ </sub>=0, then a[a, $\lambda$ (b)]=0 or d( $\tau^{-1}(\mu(b))$ )=0.

**Lemma 2** [4, Lemma 2.6] Let h:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero right-generalized ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )derivation associated with a nonzero ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-derivation d and I be a nonzero ideal of R. If a, b $\in$ R such that [ah(I),b]<sub> $\lambda,\mu$ </sub>=0, then [a, $\mu$ (b)]a=0 or d( $\sigma^{-1}(\lambda(b))$ )=0.

**Lemma 3** [7, Lemma 4] Let U be a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Jordan ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . (i) If  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}(R)$  then R is commutative. (ii) If  $U \subset Z$  then R is commutative. (iii) If aU=0 or Ua=0, then a=0.

**Lemma 4** [7, Lemma 5] Let U be a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Jordan ideal of R and a,  $b \in R$ . If aUb=0 then a=0 or b=0.

**Lemma 5** [7, Lemma 2] If R is a ring and U a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Jordan ideal of R then  $2\tau([R,R])U \subset U$  and  $2U\sigma([R,R]) \subset U$ .

**Lemma 6** [1, Lemma 1] Let R be a prime ring and d:R $\rightarrow$ R be a ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-derivation. If U is a nonzero right ideal of R and d(U)=0 then d=0.

**Lemma 7** Let d:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-derivation. If d( $\gamma([R,R])$ )=0 then R is commutative.

**Proof.** If  $d(\gamma([R,R]))=0$  then we have, for all  $r,s \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $0=d(\gamma([r,rs]))=d(\gamma(r)\gamma([r,s]))=d(\gamma(r))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))+\beta(\gamma(r))d(\gamma([r,s]))$ 

=d( $\gamma$ (r)) $\alpha$ ( $\gamma$ ([r,s]))

and so for all  $r,s \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$d(\gamma(r))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))=0.$$
(2.1)

Replacing s by st, t $\in$ R in (2.1) for any r $\in$ R, we get d( $\gamma(r)$ )=0 or r $\in$ Z. Let K={r $\in$ R|d( $\gamma(r)$ )=0} and L={r $\in$ R|r $\in$ Z}. Then K and L are subgroups of R and R=K $\cup$ L. Given the fact that a group can not be the union of two proper subgroups, Brauer's Trick, then we have R=K or R=L. That is, d( $\gamma(R)$ )=0 or R $\subset$ Z. Since d $\neq$ 0 then d( $\gamma(R)$ ) $\neq$ 0 by Lemma 6. On the other hand, R $\subset$ Z means that R is commutative.

**Remark 1** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R. Lemma 5 gives that  $2\tau([R,R])U \subset U$  and  $2U\sigma([R,R]) \subset U$ . Since  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are automorphisms of R then we will use the relations  $2[R,R]U \subset U$  and  $2U[R,R] \subset U$ .

**Theorem 1** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R and b $\in$ R, let h:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero left-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with a nonzero  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation d:R $\rightarrow$ R.

(i) If  $h(\gamma(U))=0$  then R is commutative.

(ii) If  $h(\gamma(U))b=0$  then b=0 or R is commutative.

**Proof.** We can use that  $2[r,s]v \in U$  for all r,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \in U$  by Remark 1.

(i) If  $h(\gamma(U))=0$  then we have, for all r, s  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , v  $\in U$ 

$$0=h(\gamma(2[r,s]v))=h(2\gamma([r,s])\gamma(v))=2d(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma(v))+2\beta(\gamma([r,s]))h(\gamma(v))$$

$$= 2d(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma(v)).$$

That is  $\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(d(\gamma([r,s]))))U=0$ , for all r, s \in R. This means that  $d(\gamma([R,R]))=0$  by Lemma 3 (iii). Using Lemma 7, we obtain R is commutative.

(ii) If  $h\gamma(U)b=0$ , then we get, for all r, s  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , v  $\in U$ 

 $0=h(\gamma(2[r,s]v))b=2d(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma(v))b+2\beta(\gamma([r,s]))h(\gamma(v))b=2d(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma(v))b+2\beta(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma(v))b+2\beta(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha([r,s])\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha([r,s])\alpha([r,s])\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha([r,s]))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))\alpha([r,s]$ 

so  $\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(d(\gamma([R,R]))))U\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(b))=0$ . This means that b=0 or d( $\gamma([R,R])$ )=0 by Lemma 4. If d( $\gamma([R,R])$ )=0 then R is commutative by Lemma 7.

**Theorem 2** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R, b $\in$ R and let h:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero right-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with a nonzero  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation d.

(i) If  $h(\gamma(U))=0$ , then R is commutative.

(ii) If  $bh(\gamma(U))=0$ , then b=0 or R is commutative.

**Proof.** Remark1 gives that  $2v[r,s] \in U$ , for all r,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \in U$ .

(i) If 
$$h(\gamma(U))=0$$
 then we have, for all r, s  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , v  $\in U$ 

$$0=h(\gamma(2v[r,s]))=h(2\gamma(v)\gamma([r,s]))=2h(\gamma(v))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))+2\beta(\gamma(v))d(\gamma([r,s]))$$

$$=2\beta(\gamma(v))d(\gamma([r,s])).$$

That is  $U\gamma^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(d(\gamma([r,s]))))=0$ , for all r,s $\in$ R. This means that  $d(\gamma([R,R]))=0$  by Lemma 3 (iii). Applying Lemma 7 to the last relation, we obtain that R is commutative.

(ii) If  $bh(\gamma(U))=0$ , then we get, for all r, s  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , v  $\in U$ 

$$0=bh(2\gamma(v)\gamma([r,s]))=2bh(\gamma(v))\alpha(\gamma([r,s]))+2b\beta(\gamma(v))d(\gamma([r,s]))=2b\beta(\gamma(v))d(\gamma([r,s]))$$

That is  $\gamma^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b))U\gamma^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(d(\gamma([R,R]))))=0$  so b=0 or  $d(\gamma([R,R]))=0$  by Lemma 4. If  $d(\gamma([R,R]))=0$  then we obtain R is commutative by Lemma 7.

**Corollary 1** [6, Lemma 5] Let d:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero derivation and a $\in$ R. If d(U)a=0 or ad(U)=0 then a=0 or R is commutative.

**Proof.** Since d is a derivation and so left (and right)-generalized derivation associated with d then using Theorem 1 (ii) and Theorem 2 (ii) we get the result.

**Theorem 3** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R and  $a \in R$ .

(i) If  $[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then  $a\in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(ii) If  $[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then  $a\in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(iii) If  $b[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  or  $[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$ .

(iv) If  $b[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  or  $[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then b=0 or  $a\in Z$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider the mappings defined by d(r)=[a,r], for all  $r\in R$  and  $g(r)=[r,a]_{\lambda,\mu}$  for all  $r\in R$ . Then d is a  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation and so left (and right)-generalized  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation associated with d. If d=0 then  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$ . On the other hand, g is a left-

generalized derivation associated with derivation  $d_1(r)=[r,\mu(a)]$ , for all  $r\in R$ . If g=0 then we obtain  $d_1=0$  and so  $a\in Z$ . Let  $g\neq 0$ .

(i) If  $[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then we have d(U)=0. This means that R is commutative by Theorem 1 (i). That is  $a\in Z$ . Consequently, we obtain  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$  for any case.

(ii) If  $[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then g(U)=0. Since  $g\neq 0$  then we have R is commutative by Theorem 1 (i) and so  $a\in Z$ .

(iii) If  $b[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then we have bd(U)=0. This means that b=0 or R is commutative by Theorem 2 (ii). That is b=0 or  $a\in Z$ . Finally, we obtain b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$ . If  $[a,U]_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then d(U)b=0 and so b=0 or R is commutative is obtained by Theorem 1 (ii). Again we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$  for any case.

(iv) If  $b[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then bg(U)=0. Using Theorem 2 (ii) we obtain b=0 or R is commutative and so b=0 or  $a\in Z$ . Similarly if  $[U,a]_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then g(U)b=0. Hence, b=0 or R is commutative by Theorem 1 (ii). Considering as above, we have b=0 or  $a\in Z$  for any case.

**Corollary 2** [10, Lemma 2.7] Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a nonzero Jordan ideal of R. If U is a commutative then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** Every Jordan ideal is a right (1,1)-Jordan ideal of R, where  $1:R \rightarrow R$  is an identity map. If U is commutative then we have  $[U,U]_{1,1} = 0$ . Using Theorem 3 (ii), we obtain  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Corollary 3** Let U, V be nonzero right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan ideals of R. If  $U \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(V)$  then R is commutative.

**Proof.** If  $U \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(V)$  then  $[U,V]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ . Using Theorem 3 (ii), we obtain  $V \subset Z$ . Hence, R is commutative by Lemma 3 (ii).

**Theorem 4** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R and a, b  $\in$  R.

(i) If  $(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}$ .

(ii) If  $(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then  $a\in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (iii) If  $b(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  or  $(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}$ .
- (iv) If  $b(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  or  $(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then b=0 or  $a\in Z$ .

**Proof**.Let us consider the mappings defined by  $h(r)=(a,r) _{\lambda,\mu}$  for all  $r\in R$  and  $g(r)=(r,a) _{\lambda,\mu}$  for all  $r\in R$ . Then h is a left-generalized  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation associated with  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation  $d_1(r)=[a,r] _{\lambda,\mu}$ , for all  $r\in R$  and right-generalized  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation associated with  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -derivation  $d(r)=-[a,r] _{\lambda,\mu}$ , for all  $r\in R$ . If h=0 then d=0=d\_1 and so  $a\in C _{\lambda,\mu}$  is obtained. Let  $h\neq 0$ . On the other hand g is a left-generalized derivation associated with derivation  $d_2(r)=-[r,\mu(a)]$ , for all  $r\in R$  and right-generalized derivation associated with derivation  $d_3(r)=[r,\lambda(a)]$ , for all  $r\in R$ . If g=0, then  $d_2=0=d_3$  and we obtain  $a\in Z$ .

(i) If  $(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then we have h(U)=0. Using Theorem 1 (i) we get  $a\in Z$ . Finally, we obtain that  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}$ .

(ii) If  $(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then g(U)=0. Similarly Theorem 1 (i) gives that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(iii) If  $b(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}=0$  then we have bh(U)=0. Hence, b=0 or R is commutative by Theorem 2 (ii). That is b=0 or  $a\in Z$ . Finally, we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}$ . If  $(a,U)_{\lambda,\mu}$  b=0 then we have h(U)b=0. Using Theorem 1 (ii) we get b=0 or R is commutative. Consequently, we have b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{\lambda,\mu}$  for any case.

(iv) If  $b(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu} = 0$  then bg(U)=0. Considering as in the proof of (iii) and using Theorem 2 (ii) we arrive b=0 or  $a\in Z$ . If  $(U,a)_{\lambda,\mu}b=0$  then g(U)b=0. Using Theorem 1 (ii), we get the same result.

**Theorem 5** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R, b∈R and let h:R→R be a nonzero right-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with a nonzero  $(\alpha,\beta)$ derivation d and I nonzero ideal of R. If bh(I)⊂C  $_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b∈Z.

**Proof.** Let  $bh(I) \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ . This means that  $[bh(I),v]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ , for all  $v \in U$ . Using Lemma 2 we obtain that, for any  $v \in U$ ,

$$[b,\mu(v)]b=0 \text{ or } d\alpha^{-1}\lambda(v)=0$$

Let K={v $\in$ U | [b,µ(v)]b=0} and L={v $\in$ U | d( $\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(v))$ )=0}. Using Brauer's Trick, we get [b, µ(U)]b=0 or d( $\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(U))$ )=0. The mapping d<sub>1</sub>(r)=[b,r], for all r $\in$ R is a derivation and so left (and right)-generalized derivation associated with derivation d<sub>1</sub>. If d<sub>1</sub>=0 then b $\in$ Z is obtained. Let d<sub>1</sub> $\neq$ 0. If [b, µ(U)]b=0 then we can write d<sub>1</sub>(µ(U))b=0. Since d<sub>1</sub> is a left-generalized derivation, then we have b=0 or R is commutative by Theorem 1 (ii). Finally, we obtain b $\in$ Z for any case. If d( $\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(U))$ )=0 then we have R is commutative by Theorem 1 (i) and so b $\in$ Z.

**Theorem 6** Let U be a nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R, h:R $\rightarrow$ R be a nonzero left-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with a nonzero  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation d:R $\rightarrow$ R and I be a nonzero ideal of R. If b $\in$ R such that h(I)b $\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b $\in$ Z.

**Proof.** If  $h(I)b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we have  $[h(I)b,v]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ , for all  $v \in U$ . This means that for any  $v \in U$   $d(\beta^{-1}(\mu(v))=0$  or  $b[b,\lambda(v)]=0$  by Lemma 1. Let  $K=\{v \in U | d(\beta^{-1}(\mu(v)))=0\}$ and  $L=\{v \in U | b[b,\lambda(v)]=0\}$ . According to Brauer's Trick, we get  $d(\beta^{-1}(\mu(U)))=0$  or  $b[b,\lambda(U)]=0$ . Since d is an  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation then d is a right (and left)-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ derivation associated with d. If  $d(\beta^{-1}(\mu(U)))=0$  then we have R is commutative by Theorem 1 (i). That is  $b \in Z$ . On the other hand, the mapping defined by  $d_1(r)=[b,r]$ , for all  $r \in R$  is a derivation and so right (and left)-generalized derivation associated with derivation  $d_1$ . If  $d_1=0$  then  $b \in Z$  is obtained. If  $d_1 \neq 0$  then  $b[b,\lambda(U)]=0$  gives that b=0 or R is commutative by Theorem 2 (ii). Finally, we obtain that  $b \in Z$  for any case.

**Corollary 4** Let U be nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R and I be a nonzero ideal of R. If  $b(a,I)_{\alpha,\beta} \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $(a,I)_{\alpha,\beta}b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $a \in C_{\alpha,\beta}(R)$  for all  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** The mapping defined by  $h(r)=(a,r)_{\alpha,\beta}$ , for all  $r\in R$  is a left-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation  $d_1(r)=[a,r]_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $r\in R$  and right-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation associated with  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation  $d(r)=-[a,r]_{\alpha,\beta}$ , for all  $r\in R$ . If h=0 then d=0=d\_1 and so  $a\in C_{\alpha,\beta}(R)$  is obtained. If  $b(a,I)_{\alpha,\beta}\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we have

bh(I) $\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ . Since h is a right-generalized ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-derivation, then we obtain b $\in Z$  by Theorem 5.

Similarly, if  $(a,I)_{\alpha,\beta}b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then  $h(I)b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ . Since h is a left-generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation, then we have  $b \in Z$  by Theorem 6. Finally, we obtain that  $b \in Z$  or  $a \in C_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{R})$  for any case.

**Corollary 5** Let U, V be nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideals of R and b∈R. If  $bV \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $Vb \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b∈Z.

**Proof.** If  $bV \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we have  $b(V,R)_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ . Hence

$$b \in Z \text{ or } V \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(\mathbb{R})$$
 (2.2)

by Corollary 4. If  $V \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(R)$  in (2.2) then we can write  $[V,R]_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ . Using Theorem 3 (ii) we get  $R \subset Z$ , and so we obtain  $b \in Z$ . If  $Vb \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we have  $(V,R)_{\sigma,\tau}b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ . Using Corollary 4 and considering as above we obtain that  $b \in Z$ . This completes the proof.

The following Lemma is a generalization of [8] and [9].

**Lemma 8** Let U be nonzero right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R and a, b∈R. If b, ba∈C  $_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or b, ab∈C  $_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b=0 or a∈Z.

**Proof.** If b,  $ba \in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then, for all  $v \in U$  we get

$$0=[ba,v]_{\lambda,\mu}=b[a,\lambda(v)]+[b,v]_{\lambda,\mu}a=b[a,\lambda(v)]$$

so  $\lambda^{-1}(b)[\lambda^{-1}(a),U]=0$ . This means that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  or  $a\in C_{1,1}(R)$  by Theorem 3 (iii). That is b=0 or  $a\in Z$ . If b,  $ab\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ , then for all  $v\in U$ , the relation  $0=[ab,v]_{\lambda,\mu}=a[b,v]_{\lambda,\mu}+[a,\mu(v)]b=[a,\mu(v)]b$  gives that  $[\mu^{-1}(a),U]\mu^{-1}(b)=0$ . Smilary using Theorem 3 (iii), we get b=0 or  $a\in Z$ .

**Theorem 7** Let U be nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R, let I be ideal of R and a, b $\in$ R. If b $\gamma([I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $\gamma([I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}) b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b=0 or a $\in$ Z.

**Proof.** If  $b\gamma([I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we get, for all  $x \in I$ 

$$b\gamma([x\alpha(a),a]_{\alpha,\beta})=b\gamma(x)\gamma([\alpha(a),\alpha(a)])+b\gamma([x,a]_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a))=b\gamma([x,a]_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a))\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$$

and so

$$b\gamma([I,a]_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a)) \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$$
(2.3)

If we use hypothesis and Lemma 8 in (2.3), then we get  $\gamma^{-1}(b)[I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}=0$  or  $a\in Z$ . If  $\gamma^{-1}(b)[I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}=0$  then we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  by Theorem 3 (iv). If  $\gamma([I,a]_{\alpha,\beta})b\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$ , then we have for all  $x\in I$ 

$$\gamma([\beta(a)x,a]_{\alpha,\beta})b=\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma([x,a]_{\alpha,\beta})b+\gamma([\beta(a),\beta(a)])\gamma(x)b=\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma([x,a]_{\alpha,\beta})b\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$$

That is

$$\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma[(I,a]_{\alpha,\beta})b\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$$
(2.4)

If we use Lemma 8 and hypothesis then (2.4) gives that  $[I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}\gamma^{-1}(b)=0$  or  $a\in Z$ . If  $[I,a]_{\alpha,\beta}\gamma^{-1}(b)=0$  then we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  by Theorem 3 (iv). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 8** Let U be nonzero right  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Jordan ideal of R, I be an ideal of R and  $a,b\in R$ . If  $b\gamma(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta}\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  or  $\gamma(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta}b\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then b=0 or  $a\in Z$ .

**Proof.** If  $b\gamma(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta} \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we get, for all  $x \in I$ 

$$b\gamma((x\alpha(a),a)_{\alpha,\beta})=b\gamma(x)\gamma([\alpha(a),\alpha(a)])+b\gamma((x,a)_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a))=b\gamma((x,a)_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a))\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$$

and so

$$b\gamma((I,a)_{\alpha,\beta})\gamma(\alpha(a)) \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$$
(2.5)

If we use hypothesis and Lemma 8 in above relation, then we get  $\gamma^{-1}(b)((I,a)_{\alpha,\beta})=0$  or  $a\in Z$ . If  $\gamma^{-1}(b)(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta}=0$  then we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  by Theorem 4 (iv). If  $\gamma((I,a)_{\alpha,\beta})b\subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U)$  then we have, for all  $x\in I$ 

 $\gamma((\beta(a)x,a)_{\alpha,\beta})b=\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma((x,a)_{\alpha,\beta})b-\gamma([\beta(a),\beta(a)])\gamma(x)b=\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma((x,a)_{\alpha,\beta})b\in C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$ 

That is

$$\gamma(\beta(a))\gamma((I,a)_{\alpha,\beta})b \subset C_{\lambda,\mu}(U).$$
(2.6)

If we use Lemma 8 and hypothesis, then (2.6) gives that  $(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta}\gamma^{-1}(b)=0$  or  $a\in Z$ . If  $(I,a)_{\alpha,\beta}\gamma^{-1}(b)=0$  then we obtain that b=0 or  $a\in Z$  by Theorem 4 (iv).

#### References

[1] Aydın, N. and Kaya, K., Some Generalizations in Prime Rings with  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Derivation, Tr. J. Math., 16(3), 169-176, 1992.

[2] Bresar, M., On the Distance of the Composition of Two Derivation to Generalized Derivations, Glasgow Math. J., 33, 89-93, 1991.

[3] Chang, J. C., On the Identity h(x)=af(x)+g(x)b, Taiwanese J. Math., 7(1), 103-113, 2003.

[4] Güven, E., One Sided  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie Ideals and Generalized Derivations in Prime Rings, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 7(2), 479-486, 2018.

[5] Güven, E., One Sided Generalized  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Derivations in Rings, Boletim Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, 38(2), 41-50, 2020 (to appear).

[6] Kandamar, H. and Kaya, K.,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -*Right Jordan Ideals*, Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 1993.

[7] Kaya, K., Kandamar, H. and Aydın, N., *Generalized Jordan Structure of Prime Rings*, Tr. J. Math., 251-258, 1993.

[8] Kaya, K., On a (σ,τ)-Derivations of Prime Rings, Doğa TU Mat. D. C., 12(2), 42-45, 1988.

[9] Mayne, J. H., *Centralizing Mappings of Prime Rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., 27, 122-126, 1984.

[10] Zaidi, S. M. A., Ashraf, M. and Ali, S., On Jordan Ideals and Left  $(\theta, \theta)$ -Derivations, in Prime Rings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 37, 1957-1964, 2004.