

DOI:10.51469/kafdagi.996651

Gönderim Tarihi: 16.09.2021 Kabul Tarihi:28.11.2021

THE THINGS PANDEMIC REMINDS US: A SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF ALTRUISM

Pandeminin Hatırımıza Getirdikleri: Diğerkamlık Üzerine Sosyo-Demografik Analizler

Hasan Giray ANKARA

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü hg.ankara@sbu.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8058-1428

Hakan DEĞERLİ

Öğr. Gör., Bilecik Şeyh Edebali Üniversitesi Sağlık Hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu hakan.degerli@bilecik.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7268-4320

Havvana DEĞERLİ

Doktora Öğr., Sağlık Bilimleri Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü hhavvanadegerli@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5590-7211

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5590-7211 Çalışmanın Türü: Araştırma

Abstract

There has been limited research in revealing the socioeconomic determinants of altruism during the COVID-19 pandemic. We examine socio-demographic and socio-economic determinants of altruism in Turkish society during the COVID-19 pandemic in the study. Exploiting the secondary data of 933 individuals we employ multivariate linear regression and quantile regression designs to identify the factors affecting the altruism of the individuals living in Turkey. According to the research findings, we detect that the altruism level of Turkish society is already high. In addition, we reveal that altruism is determined by gender, having siblings, religious sensitivity, and the place of residence. On the other hand, no significant impacts of living with people who are more vulnerable to pandemics (i.e., elderly, pregnant, and those with a chronic disease) are observed on the level of altruism of the individuals.

Keywords: Altruism, COVID-19, Pandemic, Sociodemographic Determinants

Öz

COVID-19 salgını süresince diğerkamlığın sosyo-ekonomik belirleyicilerini ortaya koyma

konusunda az sayıda araştırma yapılmıştır. Bu çalışma, COVID-19 pandemisi sürecinde Türk toplumunda bireylerin diğerkamlık düzeylerine etki eden sosyo-demografik ve sosyoekonomik belirleyicilerini araştırmaktadır. Türkiye'de yaşayan 933 bireyin ikincil verileri kullanılarak, bireylerin diğerkamlığını etkileyen faktörleri belirlemek için çok değişkenli lineer regresyon ve dağılım regresyon tahminleri gerçekleştirilmiştir. Çalışma bulgularına göre Türk toplumunun diğerkamlık seviyesinin yüksek olduğu tespit edilmiştir. Bununla birlikte cinsiyet, kardeş sahibi olma, dini hassasiyetlere sahip olma ve yaşanılan yerin kişinin diğerkamlık seviyesi üzerinde etkili olduğu tespit edilmiştir. Öte yandan, salgın hastalığa karşı daha savunmasız olan insanlar (yaşlılar, hamileler ve kronik hastalığı olanlar) ile birlikte yaşamanın diğerkamlık seviyeleri üzerinde anlamlı etkileri bulunamamıstır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Diğerkamlık, COVID-19, Pandemi, Sosyo-demografik Belirleyiciler.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 has become a pandemic shortly after the first case appeared (WHO, 2020a). In addition to the physical and psychological consequences, the concepts such as "social distance", "isolation" or "quarantine" have had major impacts on our daily lives (Arin Ensarioglu, 2020). As there has been no treatment yet, World Health Organization (WHO, 2020b) has recommended some measures that may be effective to prevent the spread. Since the infection has potential to affect not only the infected individuals themselves but also the others, voluntary self-isolation is considered as one of the most important tools to protect the individuals from COVID-19 spread (WHO, 2020c). In this context, voluntarily isolating behaviour of oneself for others can be considered as altruistic behaviour. Therefore, we believe that voluntary self-isolation as a preventive behaviour against the infection may be related to altruism levels of individuals. Because of this, we identify socio-demographic and socio-economic factors affecting altruism among Turkish society in this study. By doing this, we aim to contribute in understanding the motivations of preventive behaviours during pandemics.

Although altruism is a subject of psychology or philosophy (Scott and Seglow, 2007), it has always been a subject of interest in various fields including sociology and economics (Karadag and Mutafcilar, 2009). Despite different fields of study have different perspectives, the essence of altruism concept is accepted as universal (Scott and Seglow, 2007). The concept of altruism implies donation, sharing, cooperation, helping and positive social behaviour (Monroe, 1996). It is defined as the behaviour that one acts benevolently with the aim of others' benefit without expecting any reward (Mateer and Willover, 1994).

The reasons of exhibiting altruistic behaviours have widely been investigated in different fields of research. The literature bears the findings regarding origins of altruistic behaviours as well as their determinants. This ranges from an innate disposition to socialization and tangible reward. In this respect, explain the factors affecting altruistic behavior, many factors should be considered rather than a single explanatory variable (Monroe, 1996). It is aimed to reveal the socio-demographic and socio-economic factors that affect the altruism levels in this study.

2. Background

The concept of "altruism" was introduced by Auguste Comte (1875). It is derived from the Latin word "alterihuic" meaning that "for someone else" (Gormley, 1996). Altruism is explained as the motivational situation with the aim of increasing someone else's benefit (Batson, 2014). In other saying, it is a behaviour to help someone else without expecting a reward (Bukatko and Daehler, 2012; Leeds, 1963; Smith, Organ and Near, 1983; Levey-Bruhl, 1970). It involves helping to others voluntarily (Cohen, 1972; Leeds, 1963; Podsakoff and MacKenzie, 1994) and sacrificing one's own interests in favour of others (Boehm, 1979; Zettler and Hilbig, 2010).

We believe that voluntary self-isolation during COVID-19 pandemic is a good example of altruistic behaviour since individuals' voluntary selfisolating behaviour (even they may be relatively less vulnerable to the infection) is related to protect not only themselves but also their (probably more vulnerable) environment against the spread.

There has been a great deal in the literature that altruism is fundamentally based on religion as it is widely suggested that religions advise people to be kind to others and to help them (Monroe, 1996; Khalil, 2004). Because of this, we include individuals' religious sensitivities in the analyses to survey the effect of religious sensitivity on altruism. Additionally, there have been studies suggesting that altruism is based on moral philosophy (Ross, 1993; Khalil, 2004). Accordingly, altruistic behaviour is the application of the thought of "treat others the way you want others to treat you" (Scott and Seglow, 2007). On the other hand, some studies (Taylor et al., 2006; Hoffman, 1981) state that altruism is based on genetics. Accordingly, the individual may have a tendency to exhibit genetically selfish behaviours, as well as biological predispositions to exhibit altruistic behaviours. Finally, some research in the literature emphasizes helping behaviours including social norms of societies such as responsibility, reciprocity and honesty as altruism (Taylor et al, 2006).

We approach altruism from a different perspective in this study and we investigate its sociodemographic and socioeconomic determinants during COVID-19 pandemic.

3. Data and Methods

In this study, we aim to reveal the factors affecting altruism levels of the individuals. We exploit multivariate linear regression in a quantile regression design to identify the factors affecting altruism. We use secondary data that was obtained for our previous study on voluntary self-isolation during the COVID-19 pandemics. The data was collected virtually through questionnaires in the period between 6-12 April 2020. It bears the information of 933 individuals living in Turkey those are selected according to snowball sampling. The questionnaire is formed by three sections in the total. First section examines socio-demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the individuals. Second section collects the information about their behaviours in the epidemic process. The last section applies altruistic behaviour scale that is constructed and validated by Ersanlı and Dogru Cabuker (2015) in Turkey. The scale bears 20 questions and its values vary from (-10) to 70. Higher scores of the scale imply better altruistic behaviour.

We perform multivariate linear regressions in a quantile regression design to detect the factors affecting altruism level at different points of altruism distribution¹. We estimate the effects on the mean level of altruism as well as 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% quantiles of the distribution.

It is possible to show the quantile regression model in the study with the following formula:

$$Y_{AL,\delta} = \alpha + \beta_k(\delta)X_k + u_i$$

According to formula, $Y_{AL\delta}$ is the outcome, the level of altruism (AL) in δ quantiles of the distribution, α is the constant, X_k is the vector of explanatory variables, $\beta_k(\delta)$ depicts the estimated effects on altruism in the related quantile and finally u_i is the error term.

We include a bunch of socio-demographic and socioeconomic variables such as age, gender, marital status, education level, income level,

¹ Since the altruism level cannot be higher than 70, the dependent variable was thought to be limited from the top therefore, Tobit (discrete) regression estimate was also made in the study. Because the marginal impacts obtained by the Tobit regression estimation are not different from the multivariate linear regression estimates, the related estimate is not presented in the study.

the place of residence and the number of siblings into the models. Age, income and the number of siblings are continuous; while gender, marital status, education and place of residence are in categoric designs.

Since the literature suggests that altruism is based on religious beliefs originally, we consider religious sensitivity of the individuals in the models. Religious sensitivity is measured by a binary variable questioning deciding according to religious norms in every decision in daily life. Finally, considering the risk of the spread to others and the fact that some groups are more vulnerable to COVID-19 infection (Qiu, Shen and Wang, 2020; Zhang, Zhu and Xie, 2020; Pfefferbaum and North, 2020), we control for the case of living with those are vulnerable to COVID-19 infection (i.e., elderlies, pregnant and those have chronic diseases).

Table 1. Information on Dependent and Independent Variables Used in Models

Variable	Statement	N	Mean	Min.	Max.
Age	Age	933	26.625	20	65
Female	Gender = Female	933	0.723	0	1
Male	Gender = Male (Reference Category)	933	0.277	0	1
Married	Marital Status = Married	933	0.307	0	1
Single	Marital Status = Not Married (Reference Category)	933	0.693	0	1
Sibling	Number of Siblings	932	3.494	1	14
Religious Judgment	Decision Making According to Religious Judgments	932	0.769	0	1
Education 1	A Lower Level of Education than University	933	0.411	0	1
Education 2	University Graduate (Reference Category)	933	0.463	0	1
Education 3	A Higher Education Level from University	933	0.124	0	1
Income	Monthly Income (Turkish Lira)	932	5224.887	0	100000
Elderly	Living with an Elderly Person	932	0.169	0	1
Pregnant	Living with a Pregnant Person	932	0.169	0	1
Chronic	Living with a Person Having Chronic Illness	932	0.413	0	1

Village	Living in the Village	932	0.105	0	1
Town	Living in the Town	932	0.422	0	1
City Centre	Living in the City Centre (Reference Category)	932	0.472	0	1
Metropolitan	Living in the Metropolitan	933	0.795	0	1
Altruism	Altruism Scale Score	933	55.246	22	70

4. Results

We estimate the effects on the altruism levels of the individuals with multivariate linear regressions in a quantile regression design. The factors affecting altruism level on the mean value as well as on the 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% quantiles of altruism distribution are revealed. The findings are presented in Table 2. The first column of the table illustrates the variables used in the models. The second column demonstrates the estimated effects on the mean level of altruism, while the third and the following columns show the estimated effects on the 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% quantiles of altruism distribution, respectively.

The mean altruism level of the respondents is about 55. Therefore, we understand that the altruism level of the society is already high. We believe, this may explain observing statistically insignificant estimates for some variables in the models.

For the effects at the mean, we observe that gender, having siblings, having religious sensitivities and the place of residence have significant impacts on altruism level. On the other hand, age, marital status, education and income do not have significant associations with altruism level. Surprisingly, no significant effects of living with people vulnerable COVID-19 are observed on altruism level.

Women have 3 points higher altruism level on average implying that women are more altruistic compared to men. One unit increase in the number of siblings a person has are associated with the increases in the level of altruism by about 0.5 points. Therefore, it is understood that as the number of siblings an individual has increased, the level of altruism increases. The altruism level of those who make their decisions in their daily lives according to religious judgments is approximately 5 points higher than those who do not. In other saying people with religious sensitivities are more altruistic compared to their fewer religious counterparts. Finally, the altruism level of the people living in town is 1.5 points higher than the people living in city centre. It means that the people living in town are more altruistic in comparison with their counterparts living in city centre.

As for the effects on different quantiles of altruism, it is understood that gender has a significant impact at lower altruism levels. Further, as altruism level increases, gender differences decrease and disappear.

Table 2. Quantile Regression Results

Variables	Mean	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9
Age	0.035	0.021	-0.008	0.043	0.035	0.053
Female	3.361***	6.017** *	3.313***	4.379***	1.770*	-0.103
Male	0.482	0.834	-0.445	0.222	0.359	1.073
Sibling	0.437***	0.949**	0.595**	0.628***	0.068	-0.264
Religious Judgment	4.636***	5.625** *	5.591***	4.490***	4.382***	2.735***
Education 1	0.191	1.360	0.258	0.431	-0.145	-0.309
Education 2	-0.309	1.478	0.778	-1.573	0.519	0.942
Income	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Elderly	0.183	0.421	0.511	0.165	0.332	0.812
Pregnant	0.605	1.386	0.419	-0.767	1.337	0.786
Chronic	0.186	-0.790	0.566	-0.485	0.235	-0.627
Village	0.654	2.739	1.405	-0.120	0.443	0.132
Town	1.519**	1.690	3.390***	-0.027	0.315	0.423
Metropolitan	-0.277	1.673	0.757	-0.640	-1.194	-0.625
Constant	45.638** *	27.133	37.969** *	47.065** *	56.207** *	63.178** *
N	932	932	932	932	932	932
(Pseudo) r2	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04

***: p<0.01; **: p<0.05; *: p<0.1

5. Discussions

In this study, we aim to reveal the factors affecting altruism levels of the individuals. We exploit the data of our previous study on voluntary selfisolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. The data bears sociodemographic, socioeconomic and behavioural and altruistic characteristics of 933 people

living in Turkey. We perform multivariate linear regression in a quantile regression design to estimate the effects of interest. The results indicate that the altruism level of Turkish society is already high. We observe that gender, having siblings, having religious sensitivities, and the place of residence have significant impacts on altruism level.

Women are more altruistic than men, although as the level of altruism increases the differences in altruism levels tend to decrease or even disappear. As the number of siblings a person has increases, the level of altruism increases. We believe that the increase in the number of siblings improves the phenomenon of sharing and leading to observe such effect. The observed effect of the number of siblings disappears at higher altruism levels.

Having religious sensitivities significantly increases the altruism level of individuals. The findings support the literature (Monroe, 1996: 121) that ties the basis of altruism to beliefs and suggests that religion and morality increase altruism.

Additionally, we find that the people living in the district are more altruistic than the people living in the city centre. Considering higher workload and relatively fast flow of daily life in the city centres we believe that a relatively calm life in the district allows people to think of (each) others.

Surprisingly, living with people vulnerable to COVID-19 infection (i.e., elderlies, pregnant and those with chronic diseases) seems in effective on altruism level. We believe that higher altruism levels of the respondents already lead to observe insignificant estimates regarding vulnerability.

Ethics Declaration: Ethics committee decision was not taken because there was no ethical obligation when the data was collected.

References

- Khalil, E. L. (2004). What is altruism?. Journal of economic psychology, 25(1), 97-
- Leeds, R. (1963). Altruism and the Norm of Giving. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behaviour and Development, 9(3), 229-240.
- Levey-Bruhl, L. (1970). August Comte Felsefesi ve Sosyolojisi (Z.F. Fındıkçıoğlu Çev.). Fakülteler Matbaası.
- Lishner, D. A., & Stocks, E. L.(2009). Altruism. In S. J. Lopez (Eds.), The Encyclopedia of Positive Psychology (pp. 32-38). West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Mateer, N. H. & Willover, D. J. (1994). Teacher Altruism: Implications for Administrators, Pennsylvania Educational Leadership, 13(2): 29-31.
- Monroe, K. R. (1996). The Heart of Altruism: Perceptions of Common Humanity. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Moore, C., ve Frye, D. (1991). The Acquisition and Utility of Theories of Mind. In Frye D. & Moore C. (Eds.), Children's Theories of Mind: Mental States and Social Understanding (pp. 1-14). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Organ, D. W., Podsakoff, P. M., ve MacKenzie, S. B. (2005). Organizational Citizenship Behaviour: Its Nature, Antecedents, and Consequences. Sage Publications.
- Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. New England Journal of Medicine. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2008017
- Podsakoff, P.M. ve Mac Kenzie, S.B. (1994) Organizational Citizenship Behaviours And Sales Unit Effectiveness, Journal of Marketing Research, 31 (3): 351-
- Qiu, J., Shen B., M., Wang, Z., Xie, B. & Xu, Y. (2020). A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. General psychiatry, 33(2): 1-3. doi: 10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213
- Ross, W.D. (1993). Aristoteles, (A. Arslan, Tran.). İzmir, Ege University Publications.
- Scott, N. ve Seglow, J. (2007). Altruism. New York: Open University Press.
- Smith, C. A., Organ, D. W., & Near, J. P. 1983. Organizational citizenship behaviour: Its nature and antecedents. Journal of Applied Psychology, 68(4): 655-663. DOI: 10.1037/0021-9010.68.4.653
- Taylor, S. E., Peplau, L. A., & Sears, D.O. (2006). Social psychology (12th edition). New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.
- World Health Organization, (2020a). Pneumonia of Unknown Cause-China). Accessed in: https://www.who.int/csr/don/05-january-2020-pneumonia-ofunkown-cause-china/en/
- World Health Organization, (2020c). Critical Preparedness, Readiness and Response Actions for COVID-19: Interim Guidance. 24 June 2020.

- World Health Organization, (2020b). Accessed in: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/questionand-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-coronaviruses
- Zettler, I., Hilbig, B E. (2010). Attitudes of Selfless: Explaining Political Orientation with Altruism. Personality and Individual Differences, 48(3), 338-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.11.002
- Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, Wang C., Wang J ... Zhou M. (2020). Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. Ann Oncol. (31)7: 894-901. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.03.296