Evaluation of the Relationship Between the Patient Safety Culture and Medical Error Attitudes of the Pediatric Nurses

Dilek Kucuk Alemdar¹ D. Gamze Yilmaz²



- ¹ Ordu University, Health Sciences Faculty, Nursing Department, Ordu, Turkey
- ² Ibrahim Cecen University, School of Health, Nursing Department, Agri, Turkey

Correspondence Author: Dilek Kucuk Alemdar, Gamze Yilmaz

E-mail: dilekkucuk@atauni.edu.tr

Received: 07.03.2019 Accepted: 06.08.2019

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was conducted descriptively so as to determine the relationship between the patient security culture and medical error attitudes of the nurses.

Methods: The population of the study was conducted between 10 August and 25 August 2017 that the data were collected in the hospitals in the eastern Turkey of 82 nurses working in children's services. The sampling selection method was not used in this study, but 68 nurses that were accessed between the dates and that accepted to participate in the study voluntarily were included in the sampling of the study. "Descriptive Information Form" in which the socio-demographic information of the nurses is assessed, "Patient Security Culture Scale (PSCS)" and "Scale of Attitudes towards Medical Errors (SAME)" were used as data collection tools in the study.

Results: Nurses received an average score of 3.71±0.27 from PSCS, and an average score of 3.02±0.44 from SAME. A significant relationship was determined between the education status of the nurses and their PSCS total score (p<0.05). As a result of the correlation analysis it was determined that there was a positively significant relationship between the PSCS score and SAME scores of the nurses, and as their PSCS scores increased, their SAME scored increased aswell.

Conclusion: Evaluation of the current status by conducting regular measurements in regard to patient security in institutions and should be make improvements accordingly. Also, nurses should take responsibility in patient safety and improvement of patient safety culture should be the main priority of the institutions.

Keywords: Patient Safety, Medical Error, Pediatric, Nurses

1. INTRODUCTION

The patient safety culture should be established in order to ensure patient safety in health institutions (1). The patient safety culture is defined as the transparency in error reports and a systematic approach for preventing medical errors and honesty (2). The patient safety culture is a multidisciplinary structure that combines information and communication technologies (3). It also contains value judgments, beliefs and rules on what is important in the organization and how to act about patient safety (4,5). The Institute of Medicine (IOM) which is known as the most influential body to guide medical practice in the United States, defines patient safety as the prevention of harm to patients. It has been reported that this is possible with a care system that is based on a safety culture that includes health care workers, institutions and patients and prevents errors and takes lessons from the errors (6). Turkey National Patient Safety Foundation defines patient safety as the prevention of medical service related errors and the elimination or reduction of the damages caused by these errors (7, 8).

According to the latest report published by the National Medical Institute in the United States in 2000, 44,000-98,000 people die due to medical errors in US hospitals every yeary. This figure reveals the importance of errors in health sector (9). The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) defines the concept of medical error as a harm to the patient as a result of an appropriate and unethical behavior by a healthcare professional and inadequacy and negligence in occupational applications (10). Similarly, the applications which elongate increase the length of stay of patients, deteriorate patients' health, harm of patients or lead to death due to lack of knowledge, inexperience, lack of interest or the technology, are considered as medical errors (11). The issue of medical errors is a growing problem in health. In a study, it was found that the ratio of those who believed that a medical error was 34% in the United States, 30% in Canada, 27% in Australia, 23% in Germany and 22% in the UK (12).

The pediatric patient safety is a dynamic and complex subject as a result of the physiological and developmental characteristics of children. The growth and development are very fast during the first years of life and the maturation continues at a slow pace throughout the late childhood. This situation increases the risk of error due to children's cognitive and physical development. On the other hand, children, especially the children who are small and can not communicate verbally, are dependent on adults to meet their health needs. These differences are more influential in higher number of application errors in children than that in adults (13,14). Clinical differences should be taken into consideration for patient safety. Pediatric clinics need more effort in patient safety. Hospital environment is different from the familier environment of infants and children. It is a danger for health of them and a threat that prevents them from their normal environment and functions for a certain period of time. Therefore, a safe and positively influential physical environment is known to affect the infant and child physically, mentally and socially; it also shorten the process of recovery and hospital stay (15,16). Malpractice in nursing is impairment of patients due to tyhe lack standard application during nursing care. It is very important that pediatric nurses know the conditions that increase the risk of malpractice and take the necessary precautions in order to prevent to harm pediatric patients and their families and to protect themselves against the law. For reducing the risk of malpractice, pediatric nurses should keep away from administering a drug that they do not know well; they should consult a reliable source about this drug and negotiate with the physician (13).

The main condition for preventing medical errors and providing safe health care, is the establishment of the patient safety culture in health care institutions (17). The patient safety culture requires that all healthcare staff have the responsibility of patient safety culture in terms of the reporting of the factors that threaten patients and staff' safety and medical errors. It also requires the maintenance of the diagnosis, treatment and care services without causing harm to patients (18,19). In this context, it is very important to determine nurses in pediatric clinics have a tendency to medical error in which subjects. It is very important to detect medical errors at the right time before they cause serious harm to human health, to reveal their causes and to develop suggestions for their solution. For this purpose, determining the attitudes of the nurses who spend a long time with the patients and take care of them, towards the medical errors, especially towards the reporting of errors enable the necessary arrangements for the safe care. In this respect, the study was planned to determine the patient safety culture and medical error attitudes of pediatric nurses.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study design

This study was carried out as a cross-sectional, descriptive relational survey to determine the relationship between the pediatric nurses' patient safety culture and medical error attitudes. Relational survey model was used because it was desired to be described as it was without changing the situation. Relational survey models are research models which aim to determine the existence and/or degree of interchange between two or more variables.

2.2. Setting

The population of the study was conducted between the dates 10 August and 25 August 2017 that the data were collected in the hospitals in the eastern Turkey of 82 nurses working in children's services.

2.3. Sample

The universe of the study consisted of 82 nurses working in pediatric clinics in the hospitals in the eastern provinces of Turkey at the time the study. The sample selection method was not used in the study; 68 nurses who were reached at the time of the study and who accepted to participate in the study were included in the sample of the study. The data were collected by using the Introductory Information Form, Patient Safety Culture Scale (PSCS) and Scale of Attitudes towards Medical Errors (SAME).

2.4. Research Questions

- Is there a relationship between patient safety culture and medical error attitudes of pediatric nurses?
- Is there a relationship between sociodemographic, workrelated characteristics and medical errors and patient safety culture and medical error attitudes?

2.5. Instruments

2.5.1. Descriptive Information Form: A twenty item questionnaire form which was prepared by the researchers was used to collect the data. This form included the questions about demographic data, professional knowledge, patient safety and medical errors.

2.5.2. Scale of Attitudes Towards Medical Errors (SAME): It is a 16-item scale which was developed by Gülec and Intepeler to determine the attitudes of health care professionals' attitudes towards medical errors. The Scale of Attitudes Towards Medical Errors is a 5-point Likert-type scale. It consists of three sub-dimensions as medical error perception (1st and 2nd items), medical error attitude (3. 8. 10. 11. 12. 13. and 14. items) and the causes of medical errors. It's scoring is (1) I strongly disagree, (2) I disagree, (3) I am

neutral, (4) I agree and (5) I totally agree. Two items in the scale (item 10th and 13th) are scored in reverse. In scoring, the score from the whole scale is taken; the scale score is obtained by dividing the raw score by the number of items in the scale. In the sub-dimension score calculation, the total sub-dimension score is calculated; the sub-dimension is divided by the number of items; the score is rated between 1-5. The cut-off point of the scale has been determined as 3. The medical error attitudes of health care professionals who have a score less than 3 points on the scale are considered to be negative, while medical error attitudes of health care professionals who score 3 or higher are considered as positive. A negative attitude means that health care professionals are less aware of the importance of medical errors and medical error reporting. The positive attitude shows that health care professionals' awareness about the importance of medical error and error reporting is high. The scoring and assessment of the whole scale is accepted for all sub-dimensions of the scale in the same way. In the study of Güleç and intepeler (20), the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of the Attitude Scale for Medical Errors was found as 0.75. Cronbach's alpha coefficient ranged from r = .73 to .86 in this study.

2.5.3. Patient Safety Culture Scale (PSCS): For the assessment of the patient safety culture, the PSCS was developed by Türkmen et al. The validity-reliability study of this scale was conducted in the nursing group, it consists of 51 items. The PCS consists of 5 sub-dimensions as management and leadership (17 items), staff behavior (14 items), unexpected events and error reporting (5 items), staff training (7 items) and care environment (8 items). The PSCS is a 4-point likert type measurement tool. The effectiveness of patient safety practices is evaluated based on the scores ranging from "1 Strongly disagree", 2 "Disagree", "3 Agree" to "4 Strongly agree". In the analysis of the score on the scale, the increase in the mean score up to 4 shows positive patient safety culture and the decrease to 1 indicates the presence of negative patient safety culture. Turkmen et al.(21) found that the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient was found to be 0.97 for the whole scale and 0.83-0.92 for the sub-dimensions. In this study, the Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale was found as 0.97 while the coefficient of the subdimensions ranged between 0.85 and 0.94.

2.6. Ethical Statement

The ethical committe approval and required permissions were obtained from the Ibrahim Cecen University Ethics Committee (No: 95531838-050.99) and the Provincial Health Directorate and the institutions. The purpose of the study was explained to the nurses and information was given about their right to withdraw their consent at any time. After the verbal permissions of the participants were obtained, the questionnaire form was applied. The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were agreed before the forms were applied.

2.7. Data Collection

The verbal permissions of the nurses were obtained after the explanation of the aim of the study to them. After that, the forms were applied to the nurses who accepted to participate in the study with face to face interview method by the researchers in the nurse room. It took approximately 10-15 minutes.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

The analyzes were performed with Windows Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 21.0. The normality of the data was evaluated by using Shapiro-Wilk test. Data was not normally distributed. The descriptive statistical methods such as number, percentage, mean and standard deviation were used in the analysis of the data while Kruskal Wallis Test and Mann Whitney U test were used for the comparison of SAME and PSCS scores of the groups. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used for the analysis of the relationship analysis between age, occupational experience, working time in pediatric service and SAME, PSCS scores. The results were evaluated at 95% confidence interval and p<0.05 was considered as statistically significanct.

3. RESULTS

83.8% of the nurses included in the study were female; 48.5% of them had an undergraduate education 50.0% of them were single; 50% of them worked shifts. The mean age of the nurses was 26.88±4.87; their mean time of professional experience was 6.01±4.04 years; 75% of them enjoyed their profession. 36.8% of the nurses in the study had previously encountered a medical error; 19.1% of them stated that they made a medical mistake during their career. 45.6% of the nurses believed that the cause of medical error is high workload/low number of staff; 48.5% of them thought that the most common drug administration error was wrong dose (Table 1).

In Table 2, the mean scores of the pediatric nurses on the whole SAME and PSCS and on the subdimensions of them were given. The mean score of the nurses on the whole SAME was 3.02±0.44. Their mean score on the Medical Error Perception sub-dimension was 1.96±0.48; their mean score on the Medical Error Attitude sub-dimension was 3.53±0.73; their mean score on the Medical Error Causes sub-dimension was 3.59±0.58. The mean score of the nurses on the whole PSCS scale was 2.71±0.27. Their mean score on the Management and Leadership sub-dimension was 2.60±0.45; their mean score on the Staff Behavior sub-dimension was 2.77±0.43; their mean score on the Unexpected Case and Error Reporting sub-dimension was 3.02±0.77; their mean score on the Employee Training sub-dimension was 2.58±0.68; their mean score on the Care Environment subdimension was 2.60±0.48.

Table 1. Distributions of nurses's ociodemographic, work-related characteristics and medical errors

Age 19-25 32 47.1 32 47.1 32.2 47.2 33.3 - 39 6 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 8.8 6.5 6.	CHARACTERISTICS		N	%
19-25 26-32 33-39 36-66 8.8 Gender Female 57 83.8 Male 11 16.2 Education Level High School 88 Sesociate Degree 13 19-1 Undergraduate 90-styreaduate 14 5.9 Marital Status Single 34 50.0 Married 34 50.0 Married 34 50.0 Married 35 Married 36 Married 37 Married 38 Morking Type 111 16.2 No 157 83.8 Working Type 111 High, On Days Shift 34 50.0 Cocupational Enjoyment 1 enjoy 1 for 0 for	CHARACTERISTICS Age		N	%
33-39 6 8.8				
Gender 57 83.8 Male 57 83.8 Male 11 16.2 Education Level High School 18 26.5 Associate Degree 13 19.1 Undergraduate 33 48.5 Postgraduate 4 5.9 Marital Status Single 34 50.0 Married 34 50.0 Child bearing Status 57 83.8 Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type 75 83.8 Working Type 70 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 57 83.8 Working Type 11 16.2 7.5 7.0 83.8 8 7.0 9.0 9.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0				
Female 57 83.8 Male 11 16.2 Education Level 18 26.5 High School 18 26.5 Associate Degree 13 19.1 Undergraduate 33 48.5 Postgraduate 4 5.9 Marriad Status 50.0 50 Single 34 50.0 Child bearing Status 50 50 Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type 50 34 50.0 Full Time, On Days 34 50.0 50 53 83.8 Mort enjoy 51 75.0 1 400° tenjoy 5 7.4 80 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 76.0 9 <t< td=""><td>33-39</td><td colspan="3"></td></t<>	33-39			
Male 11 16.2 Education Level High School 18 26.5 Associate Degree 13 19.1 Undergraduate 33 48.5 Postgraduate 4 5.9 Married 34 50.0 Child bearing Status Tes 11 16.2 Yes 11 16.2 38.8 Working Type 111 Tine, on Days 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 57 83.8 Working Type 111 Tine, on Days 34 50.0 50.0 57 83.8 50.0 57 83.8 50.0	Gender			
Education Level 18 26.5 High School 18 26.5 Associate Degree 13 19.1 Undergraduate 33 48.5 Postgraduate 4 5.9 Marital Status Single 34 50.0 Married 34 50.0 Child bearing Status 57 83.8 Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type 51 75.0 Full Time, On Days 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 Occupational Enjoyment 1 12 17.5 Lenjoy 51 75.0 1 45.0 Occupational Enjoyment 1 12 17.6 4 6.0 1 Lenjoy 51 75.0 4 6.0 2 6 0.0 1 7.6 0 1 1 6 2 2 5 36.8 8 1 8 </td <td>Female</td> <td></td> <td>57</td> <td>83.8</td>	Female		57	83.8
High School Associate Degree Undergraduate Postgraduate Associate Degree Undergraduate Associate Degree Undergraduate Associate Degree Warital Status Single Associate Degree Warital Status Single Associate Degree Associate Deg			11	16.2
Associate Degree				
Undergraduate				
Postgraduate			_	_
Marital Status 34 50.0 Married 57 83.8 More 50.0 More 57 More 58 More 59 More 59 More 59 More 59 More 50 More				
Single 34 50.0 Married 34 50.0 Child bearing Status 1 16.2 Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type Full Time, On Days 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 Occupational Enjoyment I enjoy 51 75.0 I don't enjoy 5 7.4 Neutral 12 17.6 Have you ever encountered a medical error? Nurse 25 36.8 No 43 63.2 If yes, who made the medical error? 8 Nurse 19 76.00 Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? 11 16.2 High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 Stress/Fatigue /Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in y			4	5.9
Married 34 50.0 Child bearing Status 11 16.2 Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type Full Time, On Days 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 Occupational Enjoyment 51 75.0 I enjoy 5 7.4 Neutral 12 17.6 Have you ever encountered a medical error? 25 36.8 No 43 63.2 If yes, who made the medical error? 19 76.00 Nurse 19 76.00 Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? 19 76.00 High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 Stress/Fatigue / Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13			34	50.0
Child bearing Status Yes 11 16.2 16.2 16.2 17.6 18.3 18.3 19.1 16.2 1				
Yes 11 16.2 No 57 83.8 Working Type 34 50.0 Shift 34 50.0 Occupational Enjoyment 1 75.0 I enjoy 51 75.0 I don't enjoy 5 7.4 Neutral 12 17.6 Have you ever encountered a medical error? 25 36.8 No 43 63.2 If yes, who made the medical error? 19 76.00 Nurse 19 76.00 Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? 19 76.00 High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 Stress/Fatigue / Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13 19.1 Yes 13 19.1 16.2 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration ti				30.0
Working Type Full Time, On Days 34 50.0			11	16.2
Full Time, On Days 34 50.0	No		57	83.8
Shift 34 50.0	Working Type			
Part	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I enjoy			34	50.0
Hon't enjoy			-4	75.0
Neutral 12			_	
Yes	3 .			
Yes 25 36.8 No 43 63.2 If yes, who made the medical error? 76.00 Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 55 Stress/Fatigue /Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered 10 14.7 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 6 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 1.8 1.8			12	17.0
If yes, who made the medical error? Nurse	•		25	36.8
Nurse 19 76.00 Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? 19 High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 Stress/Fatigue /Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? 7 Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered 10 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service 10 14.7 Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Maye (Mean±SD) </td <td>No</td> <td></td> <td>43</td> <td>63.2</td>	No		43	63.2
Physician 6 24.00 What is the cause of medical errors according to you? High work load/low number of staff 31 45.6 New staff /Poor practice 8 11.8 16.2 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 15.5 16.2 Stress/Fatigue /Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 18 26.5 19.1 10.2 19.1 10.2 19.1 10.2 19.1 10.2 10.2 19.1 10.2 19.1 10.2	If yes, who made the medical error?			
What is the cause of medical errors according to you? High work load/low number of staff New staff /Poor practice Loading non-tasking jobs Stress/Fatigue /Exhaustion/Busy schedule Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered Wrong administration way Wrong administration time Wrong administration time Wrong dose Wrong patient Wrong dose Wrong medication Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient Not using the bed edge bars Wet floors Carelessness of staff Having no companion Total Age (Mean±SD) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) Paily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) Paily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 Winnel 45.6 11 16.2 45.9 19 14.7 10 14.7 16.2 4.5.9 80.9 10 14.7	Nurse		19	76.00
High work load/low number of staff New staff / Poor practice 8	·		6	24.00
New staff / Poor practice 8 11.8 Loading non-tasking jobs 11 16.2 Stress/Fatigue / Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered 10 14.7 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 6 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 13.2 Total 68 100.0 (min=1, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Me		you?	24	45.6
Loading non-tasking jobs				
Stress/Fatigue / Exhaustion/Busy schedule 18 26.5 Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? 13 19.1 Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered 10 14.7 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=2, max=72)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Have you ever made any medical errors in your career? Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=2, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	<u> </u>			
Yes 13 19.1 No 55 80.9 The most common drug administration error which you encountered 10 14.7 Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 (min=19, max=39) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=2, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	-	career?	10	20.5
The most common drug administration error which you encountered Wrong administration way Wrong administration time Wrong patient Wrong patient Wrong dose Wrong medication Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient Not using the bed edge bars Wet floors Carelessness of staff Having no companion Age (Mean±SD) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 10 14.7 16.2 10 14.7 10 14.7 10 14.7 10 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 18 19 10 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 18 19 10 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 11 16.2 11 11 16.2 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1			13	19.1
countered Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 (min=19, max=39) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Paily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	No		55	80.9
Wrong administration way 10 14.7 Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	The most common drug administration error wh	nich you en-		
Wrong administration time 11 16.2 Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Fhysical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 11.8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 (min=19, max=39) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=2, max=72)				
Wrong patient 4 5.9 Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=2, max=72)				
Wrong dose 33 48.5 Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service Fhysical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	_			
Wrong medication 10 14.7 Causes of patients falling at your service 5.9 Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)				
Causes of patients falling at your service 6 5.9 Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)				
Physical and consciousness state of the patient 6 5.9 Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	-		10	14./
Not using the bed edge bars 39 57.4 Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)				5.9
Wet floors 6 5.9 Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)				
Carelessness of staff 8 11.8 Having no companion 9 13.2 Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)				
Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)	Carelessness of staff			
Total 68 100.0 Age (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 (min=19, max=39) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) 6.01±4.04 (min=1, max=20) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42 (min=2, max=72)			9	
Age (Mean±SD) Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 26.88±4.87 max=39) (min=1, max=20) (min=1, max=11) (min=40, max=72) (min=2, max=72)	Total		68	100.0
Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD) Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) Max=39 (min=1, max=20) (min=1, max=11) (min=40, max=72) (min=2, max=72)	Age (Mean±SD)	26.88±4.87		-
Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) A.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42				
Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD) 4.25±2.73 (min=1, max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Paily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42	Length of Professional Experience (Mean±SD)	6.01±4.04		
Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) A.25±2.73 max=11) Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42				
Total Weekly Working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 (min=40, max=72) Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42	Working Years in Pediatric Service (Mean±SD)	4.25±2.73		-
Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59 max=72) (min=2,	Total Weekly Working Harry (Many 157)			
Daily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00±17.42	iotal weekly working Hours (Mean±SD) 53.10±15.59			
max=30)	aily Number of Patients (Mean±SD) 21.00+17.42			(min=2,
N= number. SD= standard deviation			max=30)	

Table 2. The Pediatric Nurses' Mean Scores on the SAME and PSCS (N=68)

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standart Deviation
SAME Total Score	0.94	4.57	3.02	0.44
Medical Error Perception	0.25	3.00	1.96	0.48
Medical ErrorAttitude	1.43	7.86	3.53	0.73
Medical Error Causes	1.14	4.86	3.59	0.58
PSCS Total Score	1.82	3.49	2.71	0.27
Management and Leadership	1.71	3.71	2.60	0.45
Staff Behavior	1.78	4.11	2.77	0.43
Unexpected Case and Reporting Error	1.00	4.10	3.02	0.77
Staff Training	1.00	4.00	2.58	0.68
Care Environment	1.33	3.83	2.60	0.48

In Table 3, the relationship between the scores of the pediatric nurses on the whole SAME and PSCS were analyzed. As a result of the correlation analysis, a significant relationship weak and positive correlation was found between the mean score of the nurses on the SAME scale and their mean score on the PSCS scale (r=0.326; p=0.007). As the scores of the nurses on the whole SAME increased, their scores on the whole PSCS increased.

Table 3. Pearsoncorrelationcoefficientbetweenthepediatricnurses' scores on thewhole PSCS and SAME (N=68)

	PatientSafetyCultureScale (PSCS)		
	r	p-Value	
Scale of AttitudesTowardsMedi- calErrors (SAME)	+0.326	0.007	

In the correlation analysis, there was no significant relationship between the mean age of the nurses, their length of professional experience, their working years in pediatric service, their total weekly working hours and their mean scores on the PSCS and SAME (p>0.05). However, a significant relationship weak and negative correlation was found between the mean daily number of patients of the nurses and their mean scores on the PSCS and SAME (p<0.05). As the daily number of patients of the nurses increased, their scores on the PSCS and SAME decreased (p<0.05). As a result of the analyzes (Kruskal Wallis Test and Mann Whitney U test), a significant relationship was found between the education status of nurses and their scores the PSCS (p<0.05), but there was no significant relationship in terms of the total SAME score. No significant relationship was found between the marital status of the nurses, their occupational enjoyment, working type, the status of making a medical error and their scores on the SAME and PSCS (p>0.05). (Table 4).

N= number, SD= standard deviation

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficient between the pediatric nurses' scores on thewhole PSCS and SAMEscales (N=68)

	PSCS			SAME			
	r	p-Value		R	p-Value		
Age (Mean±SD)	-0.026	0.835	-(0.060	0.629		
Length of Profes-							
sional Experience	-0.235	0.273	-(0.245		0.044	
(Mean±SD)							
WorkingYears in							
Pediatric Service	-0.194	0.113	-(0.209		0.352	
(Mean±SD)							
Total WeeklyWor-	.0.425	0.272		0.004		0.446	
kingHours (Me- an±SD)	+0.135	0.273	+	0.094		0.446	
Daily Number							
of Patients (Me-	-0.314	0.009	-(0.365	0.002		
an±SD)	0.02	5.000					
,	P:	SCS			SAME		
	Mean±SD	test and p		Mean±SD		test and p	
Education Level							
High School	2.50±0.20	KW=9.72		2.97±	£0.56	KW=0.88	
AssociateDegree	2.65±0.34	p<0.05		2.99±	£0.37	p>0.05	
Undergraduate	2.70±0.29				£0.14		
Postgraduate	2.76± 0.25			3.14±	0.24		
MaritalStatus							
Single	2.69±0.53	MWU=397.50)		£0.23	MWU=550.50	
Married	2.73± 0.33	p>0.05		3.08± 0.31		p>0.05	
WorkingType							
Full Time	2.65±0.26	MWU=546.00)		£0.47	MWU= 422.00	
On DaysShift	2.77±0.27	p>0.05		3.09±0.40		p>0.05	
OccupationalEn-	2 72 . 0 22	1011 4 57		2.04	0.40	WW 7.02 C.05	
joyment	2.73±0.28	KW=1.57	3.04±0.48			KW=7.03 p>0.05	
I enjoy	2.64±0.21	p>0.05		2.88±0.47			
I don'tenjoy	2.66±0.26			3.02	£0.21		
Neutral							
Haveyou ever ma-							
deanymedical							
errors in yourca- reer?							
reer? Yes	2.51±0.44	MWU= 230.0	n	2 00	£0.74	MWU= 181.00	
Yes No	2.51±0.44 2.76± 0.19		U		0.34 p>0.05		
INU	2.76± 0.19	p>0.05		3.Ub±	0.34	p>0.05	

SD= standard deviation

4. DISCUSSION

The development of safety culture in healthcare is an important component of the prevention or minimisation of medical errors. In this study which was conducted to determine the relationship between pediatric nurses' patient safety culture and their medical error attitudes, the mean score of the nurses on the whole SAME was determined as 3.02 ± 0.44 . In the study of Gök(22) which investigated the relationship between the pediatric nurses status for reporting medical errors and their attitudes towards medical errors, the mean score of the nurses on the SAME was 3.48 ± 0.51 . This finding is similar to our finding. Since the mean score of the nurses on the SAME was higher than 3, it can be suggested that their attitudes towards medical errors are generally positive.

In this study, it was found that 36.8% of the pediatric nurses encountered a medical error while 19.1% of them had previously made a drug administration error. The most common type of drug administration error encountered by the nurses was determined as wrong dose (48.5%). When the literature was searched, it was seen that the rates of encountering medical errors by nurses varied but the types and causes of medical errors were similar. In a study of Gök (22), 40.8% of the pediatric nurses reported more than one drug administration error in their clinics in a year. Ersun et al.(23) found that 61% of the pediatric nurses encountered medical errors; 48.5% of them witnessed the errors of their colleagues; the most common medical error (57.8%) was drug administration errors. It was also determined that 27.7% of the nurses had previously made a drug administration error while the most common type of drug administration error was the wrong dose (67.8%). In a study of Mayo and Duncan (24)it was found that 46.5% of 983 nurses made drug administration errors. In the study of Özkan et al. (25) the medical error rate was found as 35.5%. The rate of witnessing the errors of the nurses was determined as 10.4% in a study of Özata and Altunkan (26). According to the study of Glaheb et al. (27) the error rate was 27.6%. Young et al. (28) reported that the rate of drug administration errors was 28.2%. In a study of Cirpi et al. (5), it was found that the drug administration errors was on the first place among the errors encountered by nurses with the ratio of 57.0%. In a study of Madegovda et al.(29), the drug administration errors were found to be related to wrong dose (16.7%), wrong medication (10.0%), wrong time (9.2%) and wrong patient (7.5%), respectively.

In this study, 45.6% of the pediatric nurses stated that the cause of medical errors was the high workload/low number of staff. In this study, it was observed that the scores of the nurses on the SAME and PSCS decreased when the daily number of patients of them increased. In their study conducted with the pediatric nurses, Lan et al. (30) reported that the most important cause of drug administration errors (67.4%) was low number of nurses. In their study, Sears et al.(31) analyzed 372 drug administration error reports of the pediatric nurses. According to their findings, the main three reasons for drug administration errors were high workload, distraction and ineffective communication. You et al.(32) reported that low number of nurses in each shift and the administration of similar named or labeled drugs were the main causes of drug administration errors. Parry et al. (33) reported that nurse to patient ratio was a factor in drug administration errors in their systematic review Chang and Mark (34)determined that the number of drug errors decreased when the number of the nurses in the services increased. In a Turkish study of Gök (22), it was determined that the main causes of the pediatric nurses' drug administration errors were the number of patients per nurse (92.7%) and workload (91.1%). In the SAME, they also reported that high number of patients (81.1%) and the long daily working hours (83.8%) increase the number of medical errors. Özkan et al.(35) reported that the main reason of the errors was the workload of pediatric

nurses. In the study of Törüner and Uysal(36)which was conducted with 119 pediatric nurses, it was determined that the long working hours (68.1%) and the number of patients per nurse (58.8%) were the main causes of drug administration errors. According to the study conducted by Özata and Altunkan(26) high workload, low number of the nurses were the leading causes of medical errors.In the study of Ateş (37) nurses reported that the main causes of drug administration errors were high number of patients per nurse, long working hours, the lack of pediatric forms of drugs and fatigue. According to the studies in Turkey and in other countries, drug administration errors originated from the system. It is very important to detect medical errors at the right time before they cause serious harm to human health, to reveal their causes and to develop suggestions for their solution. The arrangements for the prevention of errors should be aimed at both reducing the workload of nurses and effective planning of the number of patients per nurse. For this purpose, determining the attitudes of the nurses who spend a long time with the patients and take care of them, towards the medical errors, especially towards the reporting of errors enable the necessary arrangements for the safe care (20,22).

In this study, the mean score of the nurses on the PSCS was 2.71±0.27. The mean score nearly 4 shows a positive patient safety culture. In this study, it could be considered that the perception of patient safety culture wass slightly above the medium level. In the study of Rizalar et al.(38)the mean score of the nurses on the PSCS was 2.64±0.43. In the study of Ertürk et al.(39) the mean score of the nurses on the PSCS was 2.81±0.40.Karaca and Arslan(40) found that the mean score of the nurses on the PSCS was 3.00±0.539.In a study of Özdemir (41) in which another patient safety culture scale was used and the mean score ranged between 1 and 5, the mean score of patient safety culture was found to be 3.40±0.70. In the studies of Dursun et al.(19) and Erdağı and Özer (42) patient safety perception was found to be above the middle level. These studies support the results of our study and the nurses' perception levels about patient safety culture were above the middle level. In the study, the perception level about patient safety was not very good, therefore it showed the necessity to make improvements.

When the scores on the sub-dimensions of the PSCS were analyzed, it was found that the nurses had the highest score on the Unexpected Event and Error Reporting subdimension (3.02±0.77) while they had the lowest score on the Staff Training subdimension (2.58±0.68). In the study conducted by Türk et al. (43), the highest score on the patient safety dimension was reported on the Event and Error Reporting subdimension (2.4±0.735) in accordance with our study. According to this, it is possible to suggest that the nurses had the necessary sensitivity about the incident and error reporting; the nurses exhibited adequate attitudes and behaviors. Rızalar et al.(38)found that the scores of the nurses on the staff training subdimension were generally low (2.59±0.73). In the study of Erdağı and Özer(42) the lowest mean score of the nurses was on the staff training

subdimension of the PSCS (2.45±0.61). Our study findings were similar to the findings of these studies. One of the most emphasized areas within the framework of the quality studies is the training of employees. Therefore, low level of staff training is not an expected condition. It is considered that providing training to staffs of institutions to improve the safety culture in institutions is an important step in terms of the functionality of the culture.

5. CONCLUSION

In a conclusion, it was found that the attitudes of the nurses about medical errors (3.02±0.44) were generally positive; their patient safety culture perception (2.71±0.27) was slightly above the medium level. A significant relationship was found between the attitudes of nurses about medical errors and patient safety culture. In this study, the error rate of pediatric nurses in pediatric clinic were found to be 19.1% while their rates of witnessing an error rate was found as 36.8%. For the pediatric nurses, it is considered that these rates should be further reduced and error tendency should be much lower. For this reason, importance should be given to orientation and in-service training programs and safe communication issues related to the drug administrations and pediatric dose drug calculations taking into account the development and physical characteristics of pediatric patients in order to eliminate or minimize the medical errors. Reporting of errors and events, improvement of care environments, adequate number of nurses, regulation of working hours and appointment of specialized nurses provide benefit. Adding the subject of patient safety to the curriculum of nursing education and raising the awareness of patients about patient safety are beneficial. In addition, the number of studies for analyzing nurses' attitudes about medical errors and patient safety culture should be increased. The information obtained about the nurses' attitudes about medical errors and their patient safety culture was based on their statements; no observation could be made about this. The results can be only generalized to the nurses in the study group.

REFERENCES

- Kaya S. What is patient safety culture and how can it be developed? JPS 2009; 1:32-34. (in Turkish)
- [2] Gallagher TH, Waterman AD, Garbutt JM, Kapp JM, Chan DK, Dunagan WC, Fraser VJ, Levinson W. USand Canadian physican's attitudes and experiences regarding disclosing errors to patients. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:1605-1611.
- [3] Hellings J, Schrooten W, Klazinga N, Vleugels A.Challenging patient safety culture: survey results. IJHCQA 2007; 20(7):620-632.
- [4] Tütüncü Ö, Küçükusta D. Patient safety culture and nursing practice. J Hospt Mang2006; 10(2):61-68.
- [5] Çırpı F, Doğan Merih Y, Yaşar Kocabey M. Nursing practices that are aims to patient safe and determining the nurses point view of this topic. Maltepe Unv J Nurs Sci Art 2009; 2(3):26-34. (Turkish)

- [6] Mitchell PM. Defining patient safety and quality care. Hughes RG, editor. Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses (Serial Online) 2008 (cited 2019 Mar 7) Available from: URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/books/ NBK2681/
- [7] İzettin FV, Apikoğlu Rabuş Ş, Okuyan B, Sancar M. Drug safety. Sur, H., Palteki T. (Ed.). Hospital Management. Istanbul: Nobel Medical Bookstores; 2013. p. 561-576. (in Turkish)
- [8] Bodur S, Filiz E. Validity and reliability of Turkish version of 'hospital survey on patient safety culture' and perception of patient safety in public hospitals in Turkey. BMC Health Serv Res 2010; 10:28: 1-9.
- [9] Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS. To err is human: Building a safer health system. Institute of Medicine, Washington: The National Academy Pres; 2000. P. 86-101.
- [10] Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO). Sentinel Event Statistics, 2006 (cited 2019 May 11). Available from http://www.jointcommission.org/sentinelevent.aspx.
- [11] Wong J, Beglarya B. Strategies for hospitals to improve patient safety: A Review of the Research, 2004. (cited 2019 May 15). Available from: https://www.dphu.org/uploads/attachements/books/books_331_0.pdf.
- [12] Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, Doty M, Zapert K, Peugh J, Davis K. Taking the pulse of health care systems: experiences of patient with health problems in six countries. Health Aff 2005; 16: 509-525
- [13] Özkan S. Pediatrik ilaç hazırlama ve uygulamada yapılan hataların önlenmesine yönelik hemşirelik stratejinin geliştirilmesi. Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Çocuk Sağlığı ve Hastalıkları Hemşireliği Anabilim Dalı, Doktora Tezi, 2010. (in Turkish)
- [14] Fortescue EB, Kaushal R, Landrigan CP, McKenna KJ, Clapp MD, Federico F, Goldman DA, Bates DW. Prioritizing strategies for preventing medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. Pediatrics 2003;111: 722-729.
- [15] Johnstone MJ, Kanitsaki O. Clinical risk management and patient safety education for nurses: acritique. Nurse Educ Today 2007;27: 185-191.
- [16] Başbakkal Z, Taş F, Yılmaz HB. Examination of the opinions of nurses working in pediatric clinics on patient safety culture. Ege Pediatr Bult 2009;16: 87-93. (in Turkish)
- [17] Akalın E, Çakmakçı M. Hemşirelikte Hasta Güvenliği: Türkiye ve Dünya Kitabında. Füsun Sayek TTB Raporları Kitapları. Ankara: Türk Tabipler Birliği Yayınları 2011: 36-42. (Turkish)
- [18] Altındiş S. Incident reporting in health services and effects on patient safety. JPQH 2010; 1: 17-32.
- [19] Dursun S, Bayram N, Aytaç S. An application on patient safety culture. J Soci Sci 2010; 8(1):1 14.
- [20] Gülec D, Intepeler S.S. Developing a scale of attitudes towards medical errors. HEMARGE 2013; 15: 26-41. (in Turkish)
- [21] Türkmen E, Baykal Ü, Seren Ş, Altuntaş S. Development of Patient Safety Culture Scale. Ant J Nurs Health Sci 2011;14(4):38-46. (in Turkish)
- [22] Gök D. Pediatri hemşirelerinin ilaç hatalarını bildirme durumları ile tıbbi hatalardaki tutumları arasındaki ilişki. İzmir Kâtip Çelebi Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Hemşirelik Anabilim Dalı, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 2015. (in Turkish)
- [23] Ersun A, Başbakkal Z, Yardımcı F, Muslu G, Beytut D. Analysis of medical error tendency of child nurses. J Ege Unv Nursing Faculty 2013; 29:33-45 (in Turkish)

- [24] Mayo AM, Duncan D. Nurse perceptions of medication errors: what we need to know for patient safety. J Nurs Care Qual 2004; 19:209-217
- [25] Özkan S, Kocaman G, Öztürk C, Seren S. Frequency of pediatric medication administration errors and contributing factors. J Nurs Care Qual 2011;26(2):136-143.
- [26] Özata M, Altunkan H. Hemşirelerin Tıbbi Hataya Eğilim Ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi ve geçerlilik güvenirlilik analizinin yapılması. Kırılmaz E, editör. II. Uluslararası Sağlıkta Performans ve Kalite Kongresi Bildiri Kitabı; 2010 28 Nisan – 01 Mayıs; Sağlık Bakanlığı Yayınları; Ankara: Türkiye; 2010. pp.3-20
- [27] Ghaleb MA, Barber N, Franklin BD, Wong IC. The Incidence and nature of prescribing and Medication Administration Errors in Pediatric Inpatients. Arch Dis Child 2010;95(2):113-118.
- [28] Young HM, Gray SL, McCormick WC, Sikma SK, Reinhard S, Trippett LJ, Christlieb C, Allen T,Types, Prevalence, and potential clinical significance of medication administration errors in assisted living. J Am Geriatr Soc 2008; 56(7): 1199-1205.
- [29] Madegowda B, Hill PD, Anderson MA. Medication errors in a rural hospital. MEDSURG Nursing 2007; 16(3): 175-180.
- [30] Lan Y, Wang KK, Yu S, , Chen IJ, Wu HF, Tang FI. Medication errors in pediatric nursing: assessment of nurses' knowledge and analysis of the consequences of errors. Nurse Edu Tod 2014;34:821-828.
- [31] Sears K, Pallas LO, Stevens B, Murphy GT. The relationship between the nursing work environment and occurrence of reported paediatric medication administration errors: a pan Canadian study. J Pediatr Nurs 2013;28:351-356.
- [32] You M, Choe M, Park G, Kim S, Son Y. Perceptions regarding medication administration errors among hospital staff nurses of South korea. IJQHC 2015;1-8.
- [33] Parry AM, Barriball KL, While AE. Factors contributing to registered nurse medication administration error: a narrative reviw. IJNS 2015;52:403-420.
- [34] Chang KY, Mark B. Antecedents of severe and nonsevere medication errors. JNS 2009;41(1):70-78.
- [35] Özkan S, Kocaman G, Öztürk C. Effectiveness of methods to prevent drug administration errors in children. Turk Arch Pediatr 2013;299-302. (in Turkish)
- [36] Töruner EK, Uysal G. Causes, reporting, and prevention of medication errors from a pediatric nurse perspective. Aust J Adv Nurs 2012;29(4): 29-35.
- [37] Ateş Ç. Bir Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesinde Hemşirelerin İlaç Uygulama Hataları ve Hata Nedenlerinin Belirlenmesi, Gazi Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Hemşirelik Programı, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 2010. (in Turkish)
- [38] Rızalar S, Büyük E, Şahiwn R, As T, Uzunkaya G. H. Patient safety culture and influencing factors of nurses. Dokuz Eylül Unv Faculty Nursing Elect J 2016;9(1):9-15. (cited 2019 May 15). Available from: http://www.deuhyoedergi.org/index.php/DEUHYOED/article/view/157 (Turkish)
- [39] Ertürk C, Dönmez P, Özmen D. Assessment of nurses' perceptions of patient safety culture in hospitals in Manisa city center. J Ege Unv Fac Nurs 2016;32(1):19-33. (in Turkish)
- [40] Karaca A, Arslan H. A study on evaluation of patient safety culture in nursing services. J Health Nurs Mang2014:1(1).
- [41] Özdemir H. Cerrahi Hemşirelerinde Hasta Güvenliği Kültürü Algılarının Belirlenmesi. Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi Sağlık

- Bilimleri Enstitüsü Cerrahi Hastalıkları Anabilim Dalı, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 2014. (in Turkish)
- [42] Erdağı S, Özer N. Investigation of working environments, perceptions of patient safety culture and burnout status
- of nurses working in surgical clinics. Ant J Nurs Health Sci2015;18:94-106.
- [43] Türk I, Akgül S, Seçkin M, Tekingündüz S, Zekioğlu A. A study on the patient safety culture: Example of training and research hospital. Health Care Acad 2018; 5(1):25-34.

How to cite this article: Kucuk Alemdar D, Yilmaz G. Evaluation of the Relationship Between the Patient Safety Culture and Medical Error Attitudes of the Pediatric Nurses. Clin Exp Health Sci 2020; 1: 60-67. DOI: 10.33808/clinexphealthsci. 537141