



Cyclic (α, β) -Admissible Mappings in Modular Spaces and Applications to Integral Equations

Müzeyyen Sangurlu Sezen^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Giresun University, Giresun, Turkey

*Corresponding author

Article Info

Keywords: Cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping, $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mapping, Modular space.

2010 AMS: 47H10, 54H25.

Received: 23 March 2019

Accepted: 3 May 2019

Available online: 28 June 2019

Abstract

The main concern of this study is to present a generalization of Banach's fixed point theorem in some classes of modular spaces, where the modular is convex and satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. In this work, the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mapping and $\alpha - \beta - \psi$ -weak rational contraction in modular spaces are proved. Some examples are supplied to support the usability of our results. As an application, the existence of a solution for an integral equation of Lipschitz type in a Musielak-Orlicz space is presented.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known fixed point theorems play important roles and have applications in mathematics analysis, particularly in differential and integral equations. One of the most popular fixed point theorem is Banach fixed point theorem [6]. By using this theorem, most authors have proved several fixed point theorems for various mappings [13, 21, 28]. Such as, Dutta and Choudhury proved (ψ, ϕ) -contractive mappings in complete metric space [11]. Samet et al. introduced the concept of $\alpha - \phi$ -contractive type mappings and established various fixed point theorems [32]. Later, Salimi et al. modified the concept of $\alpha - \phi$ -contractive type mappings [31]. Alizadeh et al. [4] developed a new fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces. They introduced the concept of cyclic (α, β) -admissible and $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mappings and established some fixed point results in metric spaces.

On the other hand, some authors introduced a new concept of modular vector spaces which are natural generalizations of many classical function spaces. Firstly, Nakano initiated the concept of modular spaces [26]. Later, some authors proved new fixed point theorems of Banach type in modular spaces [12, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 29, 33]. Then, also the concept of the fixed point theory was studied in modular metric, modular function and modular vector spaces. [1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 30, 34].

In this work, some fixed point results as a generalization of Banach's fixed point theorem are presented using some convenient constants in the contraction assumption in modular spaces. Motivated by [4] and [25], some fixed point results for $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mappings in modular spaces are proved. Some examples are supplied in order to support the usability of our results. As an application the existence and uniqueness of solutions for an integral equation of Lipschitz type in a Musielak-Orlicz space are showed.

Definition 1.1. [25, 27] Let X be an arbitrary vector space. A functional $\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modular if, for any x, y in X , the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\rho(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (b) $\rho(-x) = \rho(x)$,
- (c) $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$, whenever $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

If (c) is replaced with $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha^s \rho(x) + \beta^s \rho(y)$ where $\alpha^s + \beta^s = 1$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, and $s \in (0, 1]$, then ρ is called s -convex modular. If $s = 1$, then we say that ρ is convex modular. The following are some consequences of condition (c).

Remark 1.2. [7]

(a) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|a| < |b|$ we have
 $\rho(ax) < \rho(bx)$ for all $x \in X$.

(b) For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, we have

$$\rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) = \rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \text{ for any } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

Remark 1.3. [26] A modular ρ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e. the space is given by

$$X_\rho = \{x \in X : \rho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}.$$

Definition 1.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in modular space X_ρ is said to be:

(a) ρ -convergent to $x \in X_\rho$ if $\rho(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(x_n - x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) X_ρ is called ρ -complete if any ρ -Cauchy sequence is ρ -convergent.

(d) ρ satisfies Δ_2 -condition if $\rho(2x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $\rho(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.5. [4] Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping and $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two functions. We say that T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping if

- (i) $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ for some $x \in X$ implies $\beta(Tx) \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\beta(x) \geq 1$ for some $x \in X$ implies $\alpha(Tx) \geq 1$.

Definition 1.6. [4] Let Ψ be the set of continuous and increasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and Φ be the set of lower semicontinuous functions $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$. Let X be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping. We say that T is a $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mapping if

$$\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y))$$

for $x, y \in X$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$.

2. Main Results

Let Ψ and Φ be defined as in Definition 1.6. Let X_ρ be a nonempty set and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be an arbitrary mapping. We say that $x \in X_\rho$ is a fixed point of T , if $x = Tx$. We denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of T . In the sequel, suppose the modular ρ is convex and satisfies the Δ_2 -condition.

Definition 2.1. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping. We say that T is a $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mapping if

$$\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)) \quad (2.1)$$

for $x, y \in X_\rho$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$.

Theorem 2.2. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,
- (b) T is continuous, or
- (c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0 = T x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ then $\beta(x_1) = \beta(Tx_0) \geq 1$ which implies $\alpha(x_2) = \alpha(Tx_1) \geq 1$. By continuing this process, we get $\alpha(x_{2n}) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_{2n-1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again, since T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$, by the similar method, we have $\beta(x_{2n}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(x_{2n-1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\alpha(x_n) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Equivalently, $\alpha(x_{n-1})\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho(x_n - x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n)) - \phi(\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n)) \\ &\leq \psi(\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and since ψ is increasing, we get

$$\rho(x_n - x_{n+1}) \leq \rho(x_{n-1} - x_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $\{\rho_n := \rho(x_n - x_{n+1})\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Then, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = r$. We shall show that $r = 0$. By taking the limsup on both sides of (2.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n - x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, we want to show that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose to the contrary, that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ such that for all positive integers k , and for $n(k) > m(k) > k$, we have

$$\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon \text{ and } \rho(2(x_{2n(k)-1} - x_{2m(k)})) < \varepsilon. \tag{2.4}$$

Now for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)-1})) + \rho(2(x_{2n(k)-1} - x_{2m(k)})) \\ &< \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)-1})) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality and using (2.3), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2m(k)+1}) &= \rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2n(k)} + x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}) \\ &\leq \rho(2(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2n(k)})) + \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})) = \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)} + x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})) \leq \rho(4(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)})) + \rho(4(x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}))$$

then by taking the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in above inequality and using (2.3) and (2.5), we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2m(k)+1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, by (2.1) and $\alpha(x_{2n(k)})\beta(x_{2m(k)}) \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\psi(\rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2m(k)+1})) \leq \psi(\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)})) - \phi(\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)})). \tag{2.7}$$

By taking the limsup on both sides of (2.7), applying (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \phi(\varepsilon).$$

That is, $\varepsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X_ρ is a complete modular space, then there is a $z \in X_\rho$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. First, we assume that T is continuous. Hence, we deduce

$$Tz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z.$$

So z is a fixed point of T . Now, assume that (c) holds. That is, $\alpha(x_n)\beta(z) \geq 1$. From (2.1) we have

$$\psi(\rho(x_{n+1} - Tz)) \leq \psi(\rho(x_n - z)) - \phi(\rho(x_n - z)). \tag{2.8}$$

By taking the limsup on both sides of (2.8), we get $\psi(\rho(z - Tz)) = 0$. Then $\rho(z - Tz) = 0$. i.e., $z = Tz$. To prove the uniqueness of fixed point, suppose that z and z^* are two fixed points of T . From condition (c) we have, $\alpha(z)\beta(z^*) \geq 1$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\psi(\rho(z - Tz^*)) \leq \psi(\rho(z - z^*)) - \phi(\rho(z - z^*)).$$

So $\phi(\rho(z - z^*)) = 0$ and hence $\rho(z - z^*) = 0$ i.e., $z = z^*$. □

Corollary 2.3. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping such that

$$\alpha(x)\beta(y)\psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y))$$

for all $x, y \in X_\rho$ where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

(a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,

(b) T is continuous, or

(c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ for $x, y \in X_\rho$. Then by (2.8), we have

$$\psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)).$$

This implies that the inequality (2.1) holds. Therefore, the proof follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping such that

$$(\alpha(x)\beta(y) + 1)\psi(\rho(fx - fy)) \leq 2\psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y))$$

for all $x, y \in X_\rho$ where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

(a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,

(b) T is continuous, or

(c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Example 2.5. Let $X_\rho = [-2, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(x) = |x|$ for all $x \in X_\rho$, and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{3}, & x \in [-2, 2] \\ \sqrt{x}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $\psi, \phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = 3t$, $\phi(t) = t$ and $\alpha, \beta : X_\rho \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [-2, \frac{4}{3}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [\frac{4}{3}, 2] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we prove that the hypotheses (a) and (c) of Corollary 2.4 are satisfied by T and hence T has a fixed point. Let $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ for some $x \in X_\rho$. Then $x \in [-2, \frac{4}{3}]$ and so $Tx \in [\frac{4}{3}, 2]$. Therefore, $\beta(Tx) \geq 1$. Similarly, if $\beta(x) \geq 1$ then $\alpha(x) \geq 1$. Then T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and that the hypotheses (a) and (c) of Corollary 2.4 hold.

Now, for all $x \in [-2, \frac{4}{3}]$ and $y \in [\frac{4}{3}, 2]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(x)\beta(y) + 1)\psi(\rho(fx - fy)) &= 2^{3\rho(fx - fy)} \\ &= 2^3 \left| \frac{x^2}{3} - \frac{y^2}{3} \right| \\ &= 2^{|x-y||x+y|} \\ &\leq 2^{2|x-y|} = 2^{3|x-y| - |x-y|} \\ &= 2\psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)) \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $\alpha(x)\beta(y) = 0$, we have

$$(\alpha(x)\beta(y) + 1)\psi(\rho(fx - fy)) = 1 \leq 2\psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y))$$

Therefore, Corollary 2.4 implies that T has a fixed point.

Corollary 2.6. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists $\ell > 1$ such that

$$(\psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) + \ell)\alpha(x)\beta(y) \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)) + \ell$$

for all $x, y \in X_\rho$ where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

(a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,

(b) T is continuous, or

(c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Example 2.7. Let $X_\rho = \mathbb{R}^+$, $\rho(x) = |x|$ for all $x \in X_\rho$, and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2+x}{4} & , \quad x \in [0, 1] \\ 2x & , \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $\psi, \phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = t, \phi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$ and $\alpha, \beta : X_\rho \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we prove that the hypotheses (a) and (c) of Corollary 2.6 are satisfied by T and hence T has a fixed point. Proceeding as in the Example 2.5, we deduce that T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and that the hypotheses (a) and (c) of Corollary 2.6 hold.

Now, for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and all $y \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) + \ell)^{\alpha(x)\beta(y)} &= |Tx - Ty| + \ell \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} |x - y| |x + y + 1| + \ell \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} |x - y| + \ell \\ &= |x - y| - \frac{1}{4} |x - y| + \ell \\ &= \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)) + \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $\alpha(x)\beta(y) = 0$, we have

$$(\psi(\rho(Tx - Ty)) + \ell)^{\alpha(x)\beta(y)} = 1 \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)) + \ell.$$

Therefore, Corollary 2.6 implies that T has a fixed point.

Definition 2.8. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping. We say that T is $\alpha - \beta - \psi$ -weak rational contraction if $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ for some $x, y \in X_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(Tx - Ty) \leq M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y))$$

where $\psi \in \Psi$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max\{\rho(x - y), \rho(x - Tx), \rho(y - Ty), \frac{[1 + \rho(x - Tx)]\rho(y - Ty)}{\rho(x - y) + 1}\}.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be $\alpha - \beta - \psi$ -weak rational contraction. Assume that the following assertions hold:

- (a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,
- (b) T is continuous, or
- (c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ then $\beta(x_1) = \beta(Tx_0) \geq 1$ which implies $\alpha(x_2) = \alpha(Tx_1) \geq 1$. By continuing this process, we get $\alpha(x_{2n}) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_{2n-1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again, since T is a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$, by the similar method, we have $\beta(x_{2n}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(x_{2n-1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\alpha(x_n) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Equivalently, $\alpha(x_{n-1})\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is $\alpha - \beta - \psi$ -weak rational contraction, we get

$$\rho(x_n - x_{n+1}) \leq M(x_{n-1}, x_n) - \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max\{\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n), \rho(x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}), \rho(x_n - Tx_n), \frac{[1 + \rho(x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1})]\rho(x_n - Tx_n)}{\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n) + 1}\} \\ &= \max\{\rho(x_{n-1} - x_n), \rho(x_n - x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1}) > \rho(x_{n_0-1} - x_{n_0})$. Therefore $M(x_{n_0-1}, x_{n_0}) = \rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1})$ and so from (2.9), we get

$$\rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1}) \leq \rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1}) - \psi(\rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1})).$$

This implies that $\psi(\rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1})) = 0$, i.e., $\rho(x_{n_0} - x_{n_0+1}) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\rho(x_n - x_{n+1}) \leq \rho(x_{n-1} - x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is the sequence $\{\rho_n : \rho(x_n - x_{n+1})\}$ is decreasing and so there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\rho_n \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), we have

$$r \leq r - \psi(r).$$

This implies that $\psi(r) = 0$. Therefore, the property of ψ implies that $r = 0$. That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{n+1} - x_n) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Now, we will show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ such that for all positive integers k , for $n(k) > m(k) > k$, we have

$$\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon \text{ and } \rho(2(x_{2n(k)-1} - x_{2m(k)})) < \varepsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) \leq \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)-1})) + \rho(2(x_{2n(k)-1} - x_{2m(k)})) < \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)-1})) + \varepsilon.$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality and from (2.10), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

Then, we get

$$\rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2m(k)+1}) = \rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2n(k)} + x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}) \leq \rho(2(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2n(k)})) + \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}))$$

and

$$\rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})) = \rho(2(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)} + x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})) \leq \rho(4(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)})) + \rho(4(x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})).$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in above inequality and using (2.12) and (2.11), we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, by (2.1), we get

$$\rho(x_{2n(k)+1} - x_{2m(k)+1}) \leq M(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) - \phi(M(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)})) \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$M(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) = \max\{\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}), \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)+1}), \rho(x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1}), \frac{[1 + \rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2n(k)+1})]\rho(x_{2m(k)} - x_{2m(k)+1})}{\rho(x_{2n(k)} - x_{2m(k)}) + 1}\}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14) and using (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon - \psi(\varepsilon).$$

That is $\varepsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X_ρ is complete, then there exists a $z \in X_\rho$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$. Suppose that (c) holds. That is, $\alpha(x_{2n})\beta(z) \geq 1$. Since T is $\alpha - \beta - \psi$ -weak rational contraction, then we have

$$\rho(x_{2n+1} - Tz) \leq M(x_{2n}, z) - \psi((x_{2n}, z)) \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$M(x_{2n}, z) = \max\{\rho(x_{2n} - z), \rho(x_{2n} - Tz), \rho(z - Tz), \frac{[1 + \rho(x_{2n} - x_{n+1})]\rho(z - Tz)}{\rho(x_{2n} - z) + 1}\}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15), we have $z = Tz$. Now, let show that T has at most one fixed point. Indeed, if $x, y \in X_\rho$ be two fixed points of T , that is, $Tx = x \neq y = Ty$. From condition (c) we have, $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\psi(\rho(x - y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \phi(M(x, y))$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{\rho(x - y), \rho(x - Tx), \rho(y - Ty), \frac{[1 + \rho(x - Tx)]\rho(y - Ty)}{\rho(x - y) + 1}\}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\psi(\rho(x - y)) \leq \psi(\rho(x - y)) - \phi(\rho(x - y)).$$

So $\phi(\rho(x - y)) = 0$ and hence, $\rho(x - y) = 0$, that is, $x = y$. □

We obtain the following corollaries from Theorem 2.9.

Corollary 2.10. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping such that

$$\alpha(x)\beta(y)\rho(Tx - Ty) \leq M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)),$$

where $\psi \in \Psi$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max\{\rho(x - y), \rho(x - Tx), \rho(y - Ty), \frac{[1 + \rho(x - Tx)]\rho(y - Ty)}{\rho(x - y) + 1}\}.$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,
- (b) T is continuous, or
- (c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.11. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping such that

$$(\alpha(x)\beta(y) + 1)\rho(Tx - Ty) \leq 2^{M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y))}$$

for all $x, y \in X_\rho$ where $\psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,
- (b) T is continuous, or
- (c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.12. Let X_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space and $T : X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$ be a cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping such that

$$(\alpha x)(\beta x) + \ell)^{(\alpha x)(\beta x)} \leq M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)) + \ell$$

for all $x, y \in X_\rho$ where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $l > 1$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (a) there exists $x_0 \in X_\rho$ such that $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$ and $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$,
- (b) T is continuous, or
- (c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ρ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\beta(x) \geq 1$,

then T has a fixed point. Moreover, if $\alpha(x) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then T has a unique fixed point.

3. Application

In this section, firstly we shall apply Corollary 2.3 to show the existence of solution of integral equation. Let φ be a Musielak-Orlicz function on a measurable space $C = ([0, 1], \Lambda, \mu)$, where ρ_φ is a modular defined by

$$\rho_\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 \varphi(s, |u(s)|) ds$$

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^\varphi$ and $\alpha_0 > e$ and $c_0 \in [\frac{e}{\alpha_0}, 1)$. Assume that ρ_φ is convex satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. Now, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solution of integral equation:

$$u(t) = e^{-t} f + \int_0^t e^{s-t} \left(\int_0^1 K(\xi, u(s)) d\xi \right) ds,$$

where $K : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\varphi$ is a measurable function satisfying:

- (1) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \lambda \left| \left(\int_0^1 K(s, u) ds \right) \xi \right| d\xi = 0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{L}^\varphi$.
- (2) $\left| \int_0^1 (K(\xi, u(s)) - K(\xi, v(s))) d\xi \right| \leq k |u - v|(s)$ for any $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^\varphi$ with $k \in (0, 1)$.
- (3) We denote by $B = C([0, 1], A)$ the space of all ρ -continuous function from $[0, 1]$ into A which is a convex, closed, bounded subset of \mathcal{L}^φ . So, B is a closed, bounded, convex subset of $C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^\varphi)$ satisfying the Δ_2 -condition.

Let $T : B \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$T(u) = \int_0^1 \frac{c_0}{e} K(s, u) ds.$$

- (4) $f \in B$.

(5) There exists $u_0 \in B$ such that $\theta(u_0) \geq 0$, $\eta(u_0) \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(u) \geq 0 \text{ for some } u \in B &\text{ implies } \eta(Tu) \geq 0, \\ \eta(u) \geq 0 \text{ for some } u \in B &\text{ implies } \theta(Tu) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(6) if $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence in B such that $\theta(u_n) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\theta(u) \geq 0$.

(7) Let $\alpha, \beta : B \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta(u) \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \eta(v) \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Under the above assumptions (1)-(7), the integral equation has a solution in $C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^\varphi)$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that T is ρ -Lipschitz. By assumption (1), we have $\int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \lambda |Tu(\xi)|) d\xi \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence the definition of \mathcal{L}^φ , we get $Tu \in \mathcal{L}^\varphi$ for any $u \in \mathcal{L}^\varphi$.

Let $x, y \in B$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f(Tu - Tv) &= \rho_f\left(\frac{c_0}{e} \left(\frac{e}{c_0}(Tu - Tv)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{e} \rho_f\left(\frac{e}{c_0}(Tu - Tv)\right) \\ &= \frac{c_0}{e} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(s, \frac{e}{c_0} |(Tu - Tv)(s)|\right) ds \\ &= \frac{c_0}{e} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(s, \frac{e}{c_0} \left| \int_0^1 (K(\xi, u(s)) - K(\xi, v(s))) d\xi \right|\right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by assumption (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f(Tu - Tv) &\leq \frac{c_0}{e} \int_0^1 \varphi(s, k|(u - v)(s)|) ds \\ &= \frac{c_0}{e} \rho_\varphi(k(u - v)) \\ &= \frac{c_0}{e} k \rho_\varphi(u - v). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get T is ρ -Lipschitz (see Theorem 1.3 in [33]). Also define $\psi, \phi : C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^\varphi) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^\varphi)$ by

$$\psi(u) = u, \text{ and } \phi(u) = \left(1 - \frac{c_0}{e} k\right) u \text{ for } \frac{c_0}{e} k \in (0, 1).$$

Consequently, for all $u, v \in B$ we have

$$\alpha(u)\beta(v)\psi(\rho_\varphi(Tu - Tv)) \leq \psi(\rho_\varphi(u - v)) - \phi(\rho_\varphi(u - v)).$$

It shows that all the hypotheses of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied, hence T has a solution $u \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^\varphi)$. \square

References

- [1] A.A.N. Abdou, M.A. Khamsi, *On common fixed points in modular vector spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., **2015**(229) (2015).
- [2] H. Abobaker, R. A. Ryan, *Modular Metric Spaces*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin, **80** (2017), 35-44.
- [3] U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar, I. M. Erhan, *Fixed point theorems in complete modular metric spaces and an application to anti-periodic boundary value problems*, Filomat **31**(17) (2017), 5475-5488.
- [4] S. Alizadeh, F. Moradlou, P. Salimi, *Some fixed point results for $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mappings*, Filomat, **28**(3) (2014), 635-647.
- [5] A. H. Ansari, Marta Demma, Liliana Guran, Jung Rye Lee, Choonkil Park, *Fixed point results for C-class functions in modular metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0580-z>
- [6] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math., **24**(3) (1922), 133-182.
- [7] M. Beygmohammadi, A. Razani, *Two fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type in the modular space*, Int. J. Mathematics and Mathematical Sci., Article ID 317107, 2010.
- [8] Vyacheslav V. Chistyakov, *Modular metric spaces, I: Basic concepts*, Nonlinear Analysis **72** (2010), 1-14.
- [9] Vyacheslav V. Chistyakov, *A fixed point theorem for contractions in modular metric spaces*, arXiv:1112.5561v1[math.FA]23Dec2011.
- [10] H. Dehghan, M. E. Gordji, A. Ebadian, *Comment on "Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces"*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**(144) (2012).
- [11] P. N. Dutta, B.S. Coudhury, *A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Article ID 406368, 2018.
- [12] M. E. Ege, C. Alaca, *Some results for modular b-metric spaces and an application to system of linear equations*, Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics, **8**(1) (2018).
- [13] E. Girgin, M. Öztürk α_κ -implicit contraction in non-AMMS with some applications, Fundam. J. Math. Appl., **1**(2) (2018), 212-219.
- [14] A. Hajji, E. Hanebaly, *Perturbed integral equations in modular function spaces*, Fund. Math., **2003**(20) (2003), 1-7.
- [15] M. A. Khamsi, *Nonlinear semigroups in modular function space these d'etat*, Departement de Mathématiques, Rabat, 1994.
- [16] M. A. Khamsi, *A convexity property in modular function spaces*, Math. Jpn., **44**(2) (1996), 269-279.
- [17] S. M. A. Khamsi, W. M. Kozłowski, S. Reich, *Fixed point theory in modular function spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **1990**(14) (1990), 935-953.
- [18] F. Khojasteha, S. Shuklab, S. Radenović, *A new approach to the study of fixed point theory in modular spaces with simulation functions*, Filomat **29**(6) (2015), 1189-1194.
- [19] M. Kiftiah, *Fixed Point Theorems for Modular Contraction Mappings on Modular Spaces*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, **7**(22) (2013), 965 - 972.
- [20] W. M. Kozłowski, *Modular Function Spaces*, Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **122** (1922), Dekker, New York 1988.
- [21] H. Lakzian, *Best proximity points for weak MT-cyclic Kannan contractions*, Fundam. J. Math. Appl., **1**(1) (2018), 43-48.
- [22] Z. Mitrović, S. Radenović, H. Aydi, *On a two new approach in modular spaces*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2019.

- [23] C. Mongkolkeha, P. Kumam, *Some fixed point results for generalized weak contraction mappings in modular spaces*, Int. J. Anal. , **5**, Article ID 247378, 2013.
- [24] C. Mongkolkeha, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, *Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2011**(93) (2011).
- [25] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [26] H. Nakano, *Modulated semi-ordered linear spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1950.
- [27] H. Nakano, *Modulated sequence spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **27**(9) (1951), 508-512.
- [28] M.O. Olatinwo, *Some non-unique fixed point theorems of Ćirić type using rational-type contractive conditions*, Georgian Math. J., **24** (2016), 455-461.
- [29] M. Paknazar, M. Eshaghi, Y.J. Cho, S. M. Vaezpour, *A Pata-type fixed point theorem in modular spaces with application*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**(239) (2013).
- [30] M. Paknazar, M. A. Kutbi, M. Demma, P. Salimi, *On non-Archimedean modular metric spaces and some nonlinear contraction mappings*, J. Nonlinear Sci and Appl. (in press).
- [31] P. Salimi, A. Latif, N. Hussain, *Modified $\alpha - \phi$ -contractive mappings with applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., **2013**(151) (2013).
- [32] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for $\alpha - \phi$ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal, **2012**(75) (2012), 2154-2165.
- [33] A.A. Taleb, E. Hanebaly, *A fixed point theorem and its application to integral equations in modular spaces*, Proceedings of the American Math. Soci., **128**(2) (1999), 419-426.
- [34] B. Zlatanov, *Best proximity points in modular function spaces*, Arab. J. Math. **128** (2015), 215-227.