

Knowledge and awareness of individuals in Türkiye about cleft lip and palate

Gökhan TÜRKERª, S. Kutalmış BÜYÜK ^b', Arzu UCAR USLU^c

^a Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mersin University, Mersin, Türkiye . ^{b, c} Departman of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ordu University, Ordu, Türkiye.

ARTICLE INFO

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Article history: Received: 4 April 2022 Accepted: 12 June 2022 Available : 30 August 2022

^ahttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-5295-2722 ^bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7885-9582

°http://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-7986-719X

*Correspondence: S. Kutalmış BÜYÜK Ordu University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Orthodontics, Ordu, 52200, Türkiye e-mail: skbuyuk@gmail.com

Turkish Journal of Health Science and Life 2022, Vol.5, No.2, 99-108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.56150/tjhsl.1098369

ABSTRACT

Aim: Cleft lip/palate (CL/P) is one of the most common craniofacial congenital anomalies. The aim of this study was to evaluate the level of knowledge and perception of individuals in a large sample group in Türkiye about CL/P.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional 11-items questionnaire study was performed and was sent to individuals living in Türkiye using Google Forms. **Results:** A total of 886 participants responded the questionnaire. The rate of Nasoalveolar Molding (NAM)/feeding plate knowledge was significantly higher in female (P<0.001). More than half of the participants who had knowledge about NAM/feeding plate stated that they get information about CL/P from health employers (P<0.001). The results revealed that gender, age, job, knowledge of diagnosis of CL/P before birth, and sources of information about CL/P, were statistically significant independent determinants of NAM/Feeding Plate knowledge (P<0.05).

Conclusions: The knowledge and awareness about CL/P is moderate among participants. Informative seminars should be organized to increase the awareness of the general population about CL/P, and reliable information should be uploaded to the Internet by the professional associations.

Keywords: Cleft lip and palate; nasoalveolar molding; newborn; public awareness

INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip/palate (CL/P), the one of most malformations heterogeneous orofacial and representing a major public health burden worldwide, occurs in approximately 1 out of every 700 births and accounts for 65% of head and neck anomalies (1-3). CL/P, which be syndromic or nonsyndromic, occurs in the early stages of growth due to unsuccessful or inappropriate fusion of tissues (4,5). Craniofacial clefts occur if the fusion of the facial structures on the right and left sides to the midline does not occur properly due to environmental or genetic factors during the

development of facial structures between the fourth and twelfth weeks of pregnancy (6, 7).

Due to maxillary hypoplasia and mouth breathing in patients with CL/P, conditions that may adversely affect the quality of life such as further reduction of salivary secretion, more serious periodontal problems and more orofacial and dental disorders can be seen (8). Also, individuals with cleft lip and palate may have severe speech, feeding, hearing, and social and mental developmental disorders (5, 9). Although if the severity of the problems varies, multidisciplinary treatments including craniofacial surgery, dental and orthognathic treatment, speech and hearing intervention, and educational, social and psychological evaluations are often required in patients with CL/P. The aim of medical and psychosocial interventions is to restore the function and aesthetics of the mouth, support normal speech and hearing development, maintain good oral hygiene and improve quality of life (10).

The presence of CL/P creates significant physical and psychological burdens for the affected child, their family, the society and the health system (11). Psychological and emotional distress can significantly affect children with CL/P and their families, and psychological difficulties such as depression, low self-esteem and social anxiety may be more common in children with CL/P compared to children without CL/P (11-15). At the first stage, providing nutrition and improving facial aesthetics in individuals born with CL/P are important for improving the physical and psychological condition of both the infants with CL/P and their family. Nutritional problems in individuals born with CL/P can be eliminated with passive feeding plates, and it is also possible to extend the columella and bringing the cleft segments closer together by adding active regulators to these plates in order to improve facial aesthetics (7).

Considering the importance of early treatment approaches in patients with CL/P, this study aimed to evaluate the level of knowledge and perception of individuals in a large sample group in Türkiye about CL/P and the applications to be made in individuals with CL/P.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, a questionnaire consisting of 11 questions was created as a data collection tool in order to evaluate awareness about cleft lip and palate in Türkiye. Five of the questions in this survey were used to measure demographic characteristics including gender, recent education status, age, job and salary, and the other six questions were used to measure information about CL/P and NAM/feeding plate. The questionnaire application was carried out online and the participants were asked to confirm

that they participated in the study voluntarily in the survey forms. The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Ordu University (No: 2021/262).

Using the G*Power (version 3.1.9.2; Axel Buchner, Düsseldorf, Universität Düsseldorf, Germany) program, the total sample size required to detect a medium effect with 95% power and 0.50 effect size was 80 individuals for this study. The questionnaires were sent to 2300 people via e-mail using Google Forms©. A short informative letter describing the purpose of the study was written in the introduction part of the questionnaire and no information was requested from the participants that could reveal their identities. The answers of 886 participants who participated in the survey and filled out the entire form were evaluated.

Statistical analysis of all data obtained in this study was performed using SPSS software (SPSS for Windows version 20.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Descriptive statistics were performed for evaluated all parameters. Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the responses of individuals according to their knowledge of NAM/ feeding plate, ages and jobs. Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to adjust for the effects of potential confounding factors and to identify independent determinants for knowledge of NAM/feeding plate. Statistical significance was accepted at P<0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 886 participants responded the questionnaire by filling out the entire form, and the proportion of female participants (58.9%) was higher than that of males (41.1%). Of the participants, 71% reported that their last education level was bachelor and a very low percentage was primary school (1.6%). More than half of the participants were officer other than healthcare professionals, and the ratio of housewives/job seekers, students-university and health employer was 8.1%, 21.1% and 14.3%, respectively. When evaluated according to their salary, it was seen that most of the participants had a

salary below 10000 TL. According to age distribution, the rate of participants aged 55 and over (4.5%) was lower than other age groups. The

demographic characteristics of the subjects participating in this study are shown in Table 1.

		Ν	%
Gender	Female	522	58.9
	Male	364	41.0
Recent Educational Status	Primary School	14	1.5
	Secondary School	15	1.6
	High School	138	15.5
	Bachelor	629	70.9
	Master or PhD	90	10.1
dof	Housewife/Job Seeking	72	8.1
	Officer (Other than healthcare professional)	500	56.4
	Student-University	187	21.1
	Health Employer	127	14.3
Salary (TL)	<3000	315	35.5
	3000-5999	248	27.9
	6000-9999	262	29.5
	10000-14999	41	4.6
	15000 and over	20	2.2
Age (years)	18-24	268	30.2
	25-34	298	33.6
	35-44	174	19.6
	45-54	106	11.9
	55 and over	40	4.5

Comparison of participants' responses according to their knowledge of NAM/Feeding plate in newborns CL/P is shown in Table 2. In both male and female participants, the number of those who did not know about NAM/feeding plate was higher than those who did. The rate of those with NAM/Feeding plate knowledge was significantly higher in female, participants aged 25-34, health employers, and participants with a salary of 15000 TL and over compared to other groups (*P*<0.001). Participants with and without a diagnosis of CL/P had similar rates of NAM/feeding plate knowledge, and participants who knew and did not know someone with CL/P had no significant difference in their knowledge of NAM/feeding plate. Those who answered "yes" to the questions of "Can CL/P be diagnosed before birth?" and "Do you know that CL/ P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems?" had significantly higher knowledge of NAM/feeding plate than those who answered "no" or "don't know" (*P*<0.001). More than half of the participants who had knowledge about NAM/ feeding plate stated that they get information about CL/P from health employers (*P*<0.001).

 Table 2. Comparison of responses of individuals according to their knowledge NAM/Feeding plate in newborns

 CL/P.

	Answer	Knowledge NAM/Feeding plate		Р	
	-	Yes	No	-	
Gender	Female	90	432	40.001 [#]	
	Male	32	332	- <0.001#	
Recent Educational Status	Primary School	0	14		
	Secondary School	0	15	-	
	High School	11	127	<0.001¥	
	Bachelor	84	545	_	
	Master or PhD	27	63	-	
	18-24	40	228		
	25-34	63	235	_	
Age (years)	35-44	11	163	<0.001 [¥]	
	45-54	5	101	-	
	55 and over	3	37	-	
	Housewife/Job Seeking	2	70		
dof	Officer (Other than healthcare professional)	19	481	- <0.001 [¥]	
	Student-University	33	154	-	
	Health Employer	68	59	-	
	<3000	40	275		
Salary (TL)	3000-5999	23	225	-	
	6000-9999	42	220	<0.001#	
	10000-14999	8	33	-	
	15000 and over	9	11	_	
Have you been diagnosed with	Yes	1	6	Y	
CL/P?	No	121	758	- 1.000 [¥]	
Do you know someone with	Yes	25	132	a a 0.0#	
CL/P?	No	97	632	- 0.388#	
	Yes	88	222		
Can CL/P be diagnosed before birth?	No	15	67	<0.001#	
DILLUL	Don't Know	19	475	_	
Do you know CL/P subjects	Yes	121	700		
should seek medical attention	No	1	64	- 0.001 [¥]	
	l do not have any infor- mation.	4	419		
Which source did you get your information about cleft lip and	Internet, Social media or TV.	16	235	<0.001 ^v	
palate?	Health Employer.	75	72	_	
	Health Employer + In- ternet, Social media or TV.	27	37	_	

*Pearson chi-square test results; *Fischer's Exact test results.

The comparison of the responses given by the participants according to their age periods is shown in Table 3. The distribution of male and female individuals according to age periods was similar. However, according to age periods, the recent educational status, jobs and salaries showed significant differences (*P*<0.001). The answers to the questions "Have you been diagnosed with CL/P?",

"Do you know that CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems?" and "Which source did you get your information about CL/P?" did not differ significantly by age period. On the other hand, the rates of other questions asked about CL/P and NAM/feeding plate knowledge differed significantly according to age periods (*P*<0.05).

	Answer		Age Periods (years)				P
	Allswei	18-24 25-34 35-		35-44	45-54	55 and over	F
Gender	Female	156	186	102	62	16	
	Male	112	112	72	44	24	0.112#
Recent Educational	Primary School	0	0	6	4	4	
Status	Secondary School	0	1	4	8	2	
	High School	27	18	50	30	13	<0.001 [¥]
	Bachelor	240	216	95	60	18	
	Master or PhD	1	63	19	4	3	
	Housewife/Job Seeking	6	17	30	17	2	
	Officer (Other than		-	30	1/	2	
Job	healthcare professional)	60	207	120	78	35	<0.001 [¥]
	Student-University	177	10	0	0	0	
	Health Employer	25	64	24	11	3	
	<3000	218	45	25	16	11	
	3000-5999	31	77	77	46	17	<0.001
Salary (TL)	6000-9999	18	152	53	31	8	
	10000-14999	1	15	14	7	4	
	15000 and over*	0	9	5	6	0	
Have you been diag-	Yes	5	0	1	1	0	
nosed with CL/P?	No	263	298	173	105	40	0.148 [¥]
Do you know someone	Yes	40	44	43	19	11	
with CL/P?	No	228	254	131	87	29	0.020#
Can CL/P be diagnosed before birth?	Yes	70	117	68	42	13	0.025#
	No	26	26	15	8	7	
	Don't Know	172	155	91	56	20	
	Yes	249	280	158	99	35	
Do you know CL/P sub- jects should seek medi- cal attention for speech	No	19	18	16	7	5	0.509 [¥]
Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate in	Yes	40	63	11	5	3	<0.001
	No	228	235	163	101	37	
	l do not have any infor- mation.	127	143	81	50	22	
Which source did you get your information	Internet, Social media or TV.	78	71	55	33	14	0.088
about cleft lip and pal- ate?	Health Employer.	42	66	25	12	2	
ater	Health Employer + Inter- net, Social media or TV.	21	18	13	11	1	

Table 3. Comparison of responses of individuals according to their age periods.

*Pearson chi-square test results; *Fischer's Exact test results.

When the answers of the participants according to the job were compared, there were significant differences in the distribution of the answers to the questions asked about all other demographic characteristics in the study (P<0.001). While the distribution of answers to the questions "Have you been diagnosed with CL/P?" and "Do you know someone with CL/P?" by occupation did not differ significantly, the proportions of answers to other questions about CL/P and NAM/feeding plate showed significant differences (P<0.05) (Table 4).

Table 4. Comparisor	of responses	of individuals	according to job.
---------------------	--------------	----------------	-------------------

Status Sec Sec H Sec H Salary (TL) Salary (TL) 1 Salary (T	Answer Female Male rimary School condary School High School Bachelor 4aster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 6000-14999 5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes No	Housewife/ Job Seeking 70 2 6 8 41 17 0 46 20 6 6 0 6 0 0 6 6 17 30 17 30 17 2 2	Officer 235 265 8 7 75 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	Student 119 68 0 0 15 172 0 174 7 5 0 174 7 5 0 1 177 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Health Employer 98 29 0 0 7 88 32 16 45 49 9 9 8 25 64 24 11 3	P <0.001 [¥] <0.001 [¥] <0.001 [¥]
Recent Educational Pr Status Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N N Sec N Sec N N Sec N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N N Sec N Sec N N Sec N Sec N N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec N Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec	Male rimary School condary School High School Bachelor 4aster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 0000-14999 0000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	2 6 8 41 17 0 46 20 6 6 0 6 0 6 17 30 17 2	265 8 7 75 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	68 0 15 172 0 174 7 5 0 1 177 10 0 0 0	29 0 0 7 88 32 16 45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	<0.001 ^v
Status Status Status Status Salary (TL) Sa	rimary School condary School High School Bachelor 4aster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 6000-14999 6000-14999 5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	6 8 41 17 0 46 20 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	8 7 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	0 0 15 172 0 174 7 5 0 1 1 177 10 0 0 0	0 0 7 88 32 16 45 49 9 9 8 25 64 24 11	<0.001 ^v
Status Status Status Status Salary (TL) Sa	Condary School High School Bachelor Master or PhD <3000	8 41 17 0 46 20 6 0 0 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	7 75 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	0 15 172 0 174 7 5 0 1 1 177 10 0 0	0 7 88 32 16 45 49 9 9 8 25 64 24 11	<0.001 ^v
Salary (TL) Salary (TL) M Salary (TL) Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	High School Bachelor Aaster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 6000-14999 5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	41 17 0 46 20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	7 75 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	0 15 172 0 174 7 5 0 1 1 177 10 0 0	0 7 88 32 16 45 49 9 9 8 25 64 24 11	<0.001 ^v
Image (Interpretation of the second secon	High School Bachelor Aaster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 6000-14999 5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	41 17 0 46 20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	75 352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	172 0 174 7 5 0 1 1 177 10 0 0	88 32 16 45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	<0.001 ^v
M Salary (TL) 1 15 Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Bachelor Aaster or PhD <3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 10000-14999 10000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	17 0 46 20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	352 58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	172 0 174 7 5 0 1 1 177 10 0 0	88 32 16 45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	
Salary (TL) Salary (TL) Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Aaster or PhD <3000	0 46 20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	58 79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	0 174 7 5 0 1 177 10 0 0	32 16 45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	
Salary (TL) Salary (TL) Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	<3000 3000-5999 6000-9999 0000-14999 5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	46 20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	79 176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	174 7 5 0 1 177 10 0 0	16 45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	
Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I Salary (TL) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	3000-5999 6000-9999 0000-14999 0000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	20 6 0 0 6 17 30 17 2	176 202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	7 5 0 1 1777 10 0 0	45 49 9 8 25 64 24 11	
Salary (TL)	6000-9999 0000-14999 j000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	6 0 6 17 30 17 2	202 32 11 60 207 120 78 35	5 0 1 177 10 0 0	49 9 8 25 64 24 11	
1 15 Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	0000-14999 0000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	0 0 6 17 30 17 2	32 11 60 207 120 78 35	0 1 177 10 0 0	9 8 25 64 24 11	
Age (years) Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	5000 and over 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	0 6 17 30 17 2	11 60 207 120 78 35	1 177 10 0 0	8 25 64 24 11	<0.001¥
Age (years)	18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	6 17 30 17 2	60 207 120 78 35	177 10 0 0	25 64 24 11	<0.001 [¥]
Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	25-34 35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	17 30 17 2	207 120 78 35	10 0 0	64 24 11	<0.001
Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	35-44 45-54 55 and over Yes	30 17 2	120 78 35	0 0	24 11	<0.001 [¥]
Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	45-54 55 and over Yes	17 2	78 35	0	11	10.001
Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	55 and over Yes	2	35			
Have you been diag- nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Yes					•
nosed with CL/P? Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate		2	3	2	0	
Do you know some- one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate		70	497	185	127	0.168 [¥]
one with CL/P? Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Yes	11	96	28	22	
Can CL/P be diag- nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	No	61	404	159	105	0.567#
nosed before birth? Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Yes	16	160	45	89	
Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	No	9	36	23	14	<0.001 [#]
Do you know CL/P subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Don't Know	47	304	119	24	
subjects should seek medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	Yes	66	454	176	125	
medical attention for speech problems? Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate	No	00	454	170	2	
Do you know about NAM/Feeding Plate		6	40	11	L	0.024 [¥]
NAM/Feeding Plate	Yes	2	19	33	68	
0	No	L	481	154	59	
CL/P?		70		-57	33	<0.001 [¥]
l de	o not have any information.	34	283	87	19	
	rnet, Social me- dia or TV.	33	151	56	11	
	alth Employer.	2	11	24	77	<0.001¥
lip and palate? Hea		-	44	24	77	

*Pearson chi-square test results; *Fischer's Exact test results.

Results of the multiple linear regression analysis of the NAM/Feeding Plate information in CL/P newborns, according to the parameters are presented in Table 5. The results revealed that gender, age, job, knowledge of diagnosis of CL/P before birth, and sources of information about CL/P, were statistically significant independent determinants of NAM/Feeding Plate knowledge (P<0.05).

Table 5. Multivariate linear regression analysis of the Nasoalveolar Molding/Feeding Plate in CL/P newborns,
according to the parameters

Parameter		Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	95% CI	Р
	Male				
Gender	Female*	-0.537	0.272	0.343-0.997	0.049
	18-24				
	25-34				
Age (years)	35-44	0.619	0.160	1.358-2.540	<0.001
	45-54				
	55 and over*				
	Primary School				
Recent Educational Sta-	Secondary School		3.057	0.156-1.270	0.971
tus	High School	0.111			
tus	Bachelor				
	Master or PhD*				
	Housewife/Job Seeking				
	Officer (Other than healthcare				
doL	professional)	-1.379	0.138	0.192-0.330	<0.001
	Student-University				
	Health Employer*				
Salary (TL)	<3000	-0.166	0.113	0.679-1.056	0.140
	3000-5999				
	6000-9999				
	10000-14999				
	15000 and over*				
	Yes				
Have you been diag-		1.258	1.213	0.326-37.932	0.300
nosed with CL/P?	No*	-	-		-
Do you know someone	Yes				
, with CL/P?	No*	0.574	0.307	0.973-3.242	0.061
	Yes				
Can CL/P be diagnosed		0.845	0.140	1.770-3.063	<0.001
before birth?	No*	10			
Do you know CL/P sub-	Yes				
jects should seek medi-		4 5 6 5	1 0 0 0		0.405
cal attention for speech problems?	No*	1.595	1.033	0.650-37.326	0.123
	No*				
,	I do not have any information.				
Which source did you	Internet, Social media or TV.				
get your information	Health Employer.	-1.534	0.127	0.168-0.277	<0.001
about cleft lip and pal- ate?	Health Employer + Internet, Social media or TV.*				

*Reference parameter, TL; Turkish Liras, CI; Confidence Interval.

DISCUSSION

CL/P are among the most common congenital anomalies in head and face region. For this purpose, it is of great importance to redirect newborns with CL/P to proper clinical services. The aim of this study was to evaluate the knowledge and awareness of CL/P with a large sample size in the general population in Türkiye.

In our study, 17.2% of the individuals who participated in the survey know someone with CL/P, while 83.8% of them know someone with CL/P. Alawi et al. (11) conducted a recent study with 739 participants in Oman, 50% of the individuals participating in the study stated that they know individuals with CL/P. In our study, it is seen that the profession is an important factor in the level of knowledge about individuals with CL/P. It has been observed that healthcare professionals have knowledge about NAM applications in newborns with CL/P, that can be defined before birth, speech problems can be seen in individuals with CL/P. Alawi et al. also reported that, healthcare workers have a higher level of knowledge (83.3%) about CL/ P consistent with our study.

While 47.7% of the individuals participating in our study did not have information about CL/P, the remaining 52.3% said that there was information about CL/P. Those who know about CL/P reported that they learned this information from the Internet, social media, TV or healthcare professionals. Studies have also reported that the Internet is an important source for obtaining information about CL/P, however it has been found that the information about CL/P on the Internet is of low quality.

Presurgical orthopedic treatment, which is a crucial part of the multidisciplinary management of CL/P, has many important advantages such as facilitating feeding, narrowing the cleft width, and being effective in improving the results of surgical repair of CL/P (16, 17). Nasoalveolar molding (NAM), a form of presurgical orthopedic treatment, is a method used for preoperative repositioning of the premaxilla and

lengthening of the columella in patients with CL/P (18). NAM, which is based on the fact that the cartilaginous tissues of the infants are softer due to the high level of estrogen transferred from the mother and the ability to shape these tissues is greater until the baby is approximately 3-4 months old, provides some benefits such as decreasing the width of the cleft, restoration of the alveolus, improving nasal shape and symmetry for surgeons and patients with CL/P by reducing the severity of cleft repair (7, 18, 19). It has been reported in previous studies (20, 21)(17, 20, 21) that one of the most important reasons for the late presentation of CL/P patients is the lack of sufficient information and that late surgical procedures may have a potential negative impact on the quality of life of the child and his family. In order to improve the health and psychological and social support of CL/P patients, it is important to have enough information about the causes of this anomaly and treatment options (17, 22).

While 13.8% of the individuals participating in this study have information about the NAM/Feeding plate applications, applied in newborns with CL/P, 86.2% of them have no information about these applications. Abid et al. (17) performed a study on 145 mothers with unilateral or bilateral cleft lip and palate, 70.57% of mothers reported that NAM/ Feeding plate applications were beneficial. Onehundred twenty-two infants of 145 mothers participating in this study used the NAM appliance. Also, Kurt Demirsoy et al. (23) designed a study to determine the awareness and knowledge of pediatricians about NAM in newborns CL/P, the authors reported that pediatricians do not have adequate knowledge about NAM. In this study, the general public's knowledge about NAM in CL/P newborns was inadequate.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been observed that the Turkish population has moderate knowledge about CL/P. The awareness of healthcare professionals about CL/P was found to be higher than other professionals. Informative seminars and TV programs should be organized to increase the awareness of the general population on CL/P, and reliable information should be uploaded to the Internet by the professional associations.

This study has some limitations. This study was performed 886 individuals from different occupational groups. Large sample size studies should be organized with more participants.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Ordu University Clinical Research Ethics Committee with approval number: 2021/262 and date: 03/12/2021.

Informed Consent: For this type of this study, the informed consent is not required.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: G.T., S.K.B., Data Collection or Processing: A.U.U, Analysis or Interpretation: S.K.B., Writing: G.T., A.U.U.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Massenburg BB, Jenny HE, Saluja S, Meara JG, Shrime MG, Alonso N. Barriers to cleft lip and palate repair around the world. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery. 2016;27(7):1741-5.
- Dixon MJ, Marazita ML, Beaty TH, Murray JC. Cleft lip and palate: understanding genetic and environmental influences. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2011;12(3):167-78.
- Derijcke A, Eerens A, Carels C. The incidence of oral clefts: a review. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 1996;34(6):488-94.
- Neiswanger K, Mukhopadhyay N, Rajagopalan S, Leslie EJ, Sanchez CA, Hecht JT, et al. Individuals with nonsyndromic orofacial clefts have increased asymmetry of fingerprint patterns. Plos One. 2020;15(3):e0230534.
- 5. Salari N, Darvishi N, Heydari M, Bokaee S, Darvishi F, Mohammadi M. Global prevalence of cleft palate, cleft lip and cleft palate and lip: A comprehensive systematic review

and meta-analysis. Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2021. Online ahead of print.

- Imani MM, Golchin N, Safaei M, Rezaei F, Abbasi H, Sadeghi M, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism is not associated with the risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate: An updated meta-analysis. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1):1-13.
- Altuğ AT. Presurgical nasoalveolar molding of bilateral cleft lip and palate infants: an orthodontist's point of view. Turkish Journal of Orthodontics. 2017;30(4):118.
- Mariano NCR, Sano MN, Curvêllo VP, de Almeida ALPF, Neppelenbroek KH, Oliveira TM, et al. Impact of orofacial dysfunction on the quality of life of adult patients with cleft lip and palate. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2018;55 (8):1138-44.
- Wang M, Meng R, Wang Z, Liu D, Huang H, Kang C, et al. Prevalence of oral clefts among live births in Gansu Province, China. International Journal of Environmental Research And Public Health. 2018;15(2):380.
- Stock NM, Zucchelli F, Hudson N, Kiff JD, Hammond V. Promoting psychosocial adjustment in individuals born with cleft lip and/or palate and their families: current clinical practice in the United Kingdom. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2020;57(2):186-97.
- Alawi KA, Shaqsi SA, Ghaithi MA. Awareness of Orofacial Clefts in the Sultanate of Oman. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2021:10556656211024477.
- Turner S, Thomas P, Dowell T, Rumsey N, Sandy J. Psychological outcomes amongst cleft patients and their families. British Journal of Plastic Surgery. 1997;50(1):1-9.
- Wehby G, Cassell CH. The impact of orofacial clefts on quality of life and healthcare use and costs. Oral Diseases. 2010;16(1):3-10.
- Hutchinson K, Wellman MA, Noe DA, Kahn A. The psychosocial effects of cleft lip and palate in non-Anglo populations: a cross-cultural meta-analysis. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2011;48(5):497-508.
- 15. Shirol S. Sociocultural beliefs and perceptions about cleft lippalate and their implications in the management, outcome, and rehabilitation. Journal of Cleft Lip Palate and Craniofacial Anomalies. 2018;5(1):4.
- Shetty V, Thakral A, Sreekumar C. Comparison of early onset nasoalveolar molding with patients who presented for molding up to 1 year of age. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2016;74(4):811-27.
- Abid M, Al-Groosh D, Dziedzic A, Abed H. Mothers' knowledge and experience concerning presurgical orthopedic management for infants with cleft lip and palate. Journal of Orthodontic Science. 2021;10:8.
- Maliha SG, Kantar RS, Gonchar MN, Eisemann BS, Staffenberg DA, Shetye PR, et al. The effects of nasoalveolar molding on nasal proportions at the time of nasal maturity. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2021;58(3):284-9.
- 19. Grayson BH, Santiago PE, Brecht LE, Cutting CB. Presurgical

nasoalveolar molding in infants with cleft lip and palate. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 1999;36(6):486-98.

- 20. Schwarz R, Bhai Khadka S. Reasons for late presentation of cleft deformity in Nepal. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2004;41(2):199-201.
- 21. Pelchat D, Bisson J, Ricard N, Perreault M, Bouchard J-M. Longitudinal effects of an early family intervention programme on the adaptation of parents of children with a disability. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 1999;36 (6):465-77.
- 22. Chan R, McPherson B, Whitehill T. Chinese attitudes toward cleft lip and palate: effects of personal contact. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2006;43(6):731-9.
- Kurt Demirsoy, Buyuk SK, Alpaydın T. Pediatricians' Knowledge and Awareness on Pre-surgical Orthopedics in Newborns with Cleft Lip and Palate. J Pediatr Res 2022;9(1):31 -7.