

Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Modelling

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/jmsm ISSN 2636-8692



# Improved semi-local convergence of the Gauss-Newton method for systems of equations

Ioannis K. Argyros<sup>a</sup> and Santhosh George<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Cameron University, Lawton, OK 73505, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, India-575 025 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: sgeorge@nitk.ac.in

Keywords: Gauss- Newton method, Newton's method, Semi-local convergence, Least squares problem 2010 AMS: 65H10, 65G99, 65K10, 47H17, 49M15 Received: 8 June 2018 Accepted: 13 September 2018 Available online: 30 September 2018

#### Abstract

Our new technique of restricted convergence domains is employed to provide a finer convergence analysis of the Gauss-Newton method in order to solve a certain class of systems of equations under a majorant condition. The advantages are obtained under the same computational cost as in earlier studies such as [5, 14]. Special cases and a numerical example are also given in this study.

#### 1. Introduction

**Article Info** 

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. Let  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be continuously Fréchet- differentiable. The problem of approximating least squares solutions  $x^*$  of the nonlinear problem

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \|F(x)\|^2, \tag{1.1}$$

is very important in computational mathematics. The least squares solutions of (1.1) are stationary points of  $Q(x) = ||F(x)||^2$ . A lot of problems arising in applied sciences and in engineering can be expressed in a form like (1.1). For example in data fitting *n* is the number of parameters and *m* is the number of observations. Other examples can be found in [6, 16, 19] and the references therein. The famous Gauss-Newton method defined by

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - F'(x_k)^{\dagger} F(x_k), \text{ for each } k = 0, 1, \cdots,$$
 (1.2)

where  $x_0$  is an initial point and  $F'(x_k)^{\dagger}$  the Moore-Penrose inverse of the linear operator  $F'(x_k)$  has been used extensively to generate a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converging to  $x^*$  [1]–[6], [8, 10, 20, 14, 15, 17].

In the present paper, we are motivated by the work of Goncalves and Oliveira in [14] (see also [12], [13]) and our works in [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8]. These authors presented a semi-local convergence analysis for the Gauss-Newton method (1.2) for systems of nonlinear equations where the function *F* satisfies

$$||F'(y)^{\mathsf{T}}(I_{\mathbb{R}^m} - F'(x)F'(x)^{\mathsf{T}})F(x)|| \le k||x - y|| \text{ for each } x \text{ and } y \in \Omega.$$

where  $k \in [0,1)$  and  $I_{\mathbb{R}^m}$  denotes the identity operator on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Their semilocal- convergence analysis is based on the construction of a majorant function (see condition  $(h_3)$ ). Their results unify the classical results for functions involving Lipschitz derivative [6, 7, 16, 18] with results for analytical functions ( $\alpha$ -theory or  $\gamma$ -theory) [9, 11, 15, 17, 19, 20].

We introduce a center majorant function (see  $(c_3)$ ) which is a special case of the majorant function that can provide more precise estimates on the distances  $||F'(x)^{\dagger}||$ . Then, we find a domain where the iterates lie which is more precise than in the aformentioned studies. This leads to "smaller" majorant functions yielding to weaker sufficient convergence conditions; more precise error estimates on the distances  $||x_{k+1} - x_k||, ||x_k - x^*||$  and an at least as precise information on the location of the solution.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The semi-local convergence analysis of the Gauss-Newton method is presented in Section 2. Special cases and numerical examples are given in the concluding Section 3.

### 2. Semi-local convergence analysis

In this section we present the semi-local convergence analysis of the Gauss-Newton method. Let R > 0. Denote by  $B(x_0, R)$ ,  $\overline{B}(x_0, R)$  the open and closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively with center  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and radius R. We shall use the hypotheses denoted by ( $\mathscr{C}$ ). ( $c_0$ ) Let  $B(x_0, R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $F : B(x_0, R) \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be continuously Fréchet- differentiable. ( $c_1$ ) continuously differentiable functions  $f_0 : [0, R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : [0, R^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$||F'(x_0)^{\dagger}||||F'(x) - F'(x_0)|| \le f'_0(||x - x_0||) - f'_0(0)$$
 for each  $x \in B(x_0, R)$ 

and

$$||F'(x_0)^{\dagger}|| ||F'(y) - F'(x)|| \le f'(||y - x|| + ||x - x_0||) - f'(||x - x_0||) \text{ for each } x, y \in B(x_0, R^*)$$

with  $||y - x|| + ||x - x_0|| < R^*$  where  $R_0 := \sup\{t \in [0, R] : f'_0(t) < 0\}$ . Set

$$R^* := \min\{R_0, R\}.$$

 $(c_2)$ 

$$\|F'(y)^{\dagger}(I_{\mathbb{R}^m} - F'(x)F'(x)^{\dagger})F(x)\| \le \kappa \|x - y\| \text{ for each } x \text{ and } y \in B(x_0, R^*)$$

where  $\kappa \in [0,1)$ . (c<sub>3</sub>) Set  $\eta = \|F'(x_0)^{\dagger}F(x_0)\| > 0, \ F'(x_0) \neq 0$ .

 $rank(F'(x)) \le rank(F'(x_0)) \ne 0$  for each  $x \in B(x_0, R^*)$ .

 $(c_4)$ 

$$f_0(0) = f(0) = 0, \ f'(0) = f'_0(0) = -1$$
  
 $f_0(t) \le f(t) \text{ and } f'_0(t) \le f'(t) \text{ for each } t \in [0, R^*).$ 

 $(c_5)$   $f'_0, f'$  are convex and strictly increasing.

Let  $\mu \ge 0$  be such that  $\mu \ge -\kappa f'(\eta)$  and define  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}: [0,R^*) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \eta + \mu t + f(t)$$

(c<sub>6</sub>)  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) = 0$  for some  $t \in [0, R^*)$ . (c<sub>7</sub>) For each  $s, t, u \in [0, R^*)$  with  $s \le t \le u$ 

$$t + \frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(u)}{f'_{0}(u)} \le u + \frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) - \varphi_{\eta,\mu}(s) - \varphi'_{\eta,\mu}(s)(t-s)}{f'_{0}(t)}$$

The majorizing iteration  $\{r_k\}$  for  $\{x_k\}$  is given by

$$r_0 = 0, r_{k+1} = r_k - \frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(r_k)}{f'_0(r_k)}.$$
(2.1)

The corresponding iteration  $\{t_n\}$  used in [14] is given by

$$t_0 = 0, t_{k+1} = t_k - \frac{\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(t_k)}{g'(t_k)},$$
(2.2)

where  $\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \eta + \mu t + g(t)$ , continuously differentiable function  $g: [0,R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$||F'(x_0)^+|| ||F'(x) - F'(y)|| \le g'(||y - x|| + ||x - x_0||) - g'(||x - x_0||)$$

for each  $x, y \in B(x_0, R)$ . Moreover, define iterations  $\{s_k\}$  by

$$s_0 = 0, s_{k+1} = s_k - \frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(s_k)}{f'_0(s_k)}$$

This iteration was used by us in [5]. In view of these conditions, we have

$$f_0'(t) \le g'(t)$$
 (2.3)

and

$$f'(t) \le g'(t) \tag{2.4}$$

81

for each  $t \in [0, R^*)$ . Next, the main semi-local convergence result for the Gauss-Newton method is presented.

f

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that the ( $\mathscr{C}$ ) conditions hold and  $f'_0(t) \leq f'(t)$  for each  $t \in [0, R^*]$ . Then, the following hold:  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t)$  has a smallest zero  $r^* \in (0, R^*)$ , the sequences  $\{r_k\}$  and  $\{x_k\}$  for solving  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) = 0$  and F(x) = 0, with starting point  $t_0 = 0$  and  $x_0$ , respectively given by (1.2) and (2.3) are well defined,  $\{r_k\}$  is strictly increasing, remains in  $[0, r^*)$ , and converges to  $r^*$ ,  $\{x_k\}$  remains in  $B(x_0, r^*)$ , converges to a point  $x^* \in B(x_0, r^*)$  such that  $F'(x^*)^{\dagger}F(x^*) = 0$ . Moreover, the following estimates hold:

$$\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \le r_{k+1} - r_k \text{ for each } k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$
$$\|x^* - x_k\| \le r^* - r_k \text{ for each } k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

and

$$||x_{k+1} - x_k|| \le \frac{r_{k+1} - r_k}{(r_k - r_{k-1})^2} ||x_k - x_{k-1}||^2$$
 for each  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Furthermore, if  $\mu = 0$  ( $\mu = 0$  and  $f'_0(r^*) < 0$ ), the sequence  $\{r_k\}$ ,  $\{x_k\}$  converge Q-linearly and R-linearly (Q- quadratically and R-quadratically) to  $r^*$  and  $x^*$ , respectively.

**Proof.** Simply repeat the proof of Theorem 3.9 in [5] (or the proof in [14]) with *f* replacing *g*. Notice also that the iterates  $x_n$  remain in  $B(x_0, R_0)$  which is a more precise location than  $B(x_0, R^*)$  used in [5, 14].

**Remark 2.2.** (i) As noted in [14] the best choice for  $\mu$  is given by  $\mu = -\kappa f'(\kappa)$ .

(ii) If  $f(t) = g(t) = f_0(t)$  for each  $t \in [0, R_0)$  and  $R_0 = R$ , then Theorem 2.1 reduces to the corresponding Theorem in [8]. Moreover, if  $f'_0(t) \le f't) = g'(t)$  we obtain the results in [5]. If

$$f'_0(t) \le f'(t) \le g'(t)$$
 for each  $t \in [0, R^*)$  (2.5)

then the following advantages denoted by  $(\mathcal{A})$  are obtained: weaker sufficient convergence criteria, tighter error bounds on the distances  $||x_n - x^*||$ ,  $||x_{n+1} - x_n||$  and an at least as precise information on the location of the solution  $x^*$ . These advantages are obtained using less computational cost, since in practice the computation of function g requires the computation of functions  $f_0$  and f as special cases. It is also worth noticing that under  $(c_1)$  function  $f'_0$  is defined and therefore  $R^*$  which is at least as small as R.

We have that, if function  $\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}$  has a solution  $t^*$ , then, since  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t^*) \leq \bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(t^*) = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(0) = \bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(0) = \eta > 0$ , we get that function  $\varphi_{\eta,\mu}$  has a solution  $r^*$  such that

$$r^* \le t^* \tag{2.6}$$

but not necessarily vice versa. It also follows from (2.6) that the new information about the location of the solution  $x^*$  is at least as precise as the one given in [14, 5].

Let us specialize conditions ( $\mathscr{C}$ ) even further in the case when  $f_0$ , f and g are constant functions  $L_0$ , K, L, respectively. Then, (for  $\mu = 0$ ) we have that:

$$\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \frac{L}{2}t^2 - t + \eta$$
(2.7)

and

$$\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \frac{K}{2}t^2 - t + \eta, \qquad (2.8)$$

respectively. In this case the convergence criteria become, respectively

$$h = L\eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

 $h_1=K\eta\leq\frac{1}{2}.$ 

Notice that

$$h \leq rac{1}{2} \Longrightarrow h_1 \leq rac{1}{2}$$

but not vice versa unless, K = L. Criterion (2.8) is famous for its simplicity and clarity Kantorovich hypothesis for the semilocal convergence of Newton's method to a solution  $x^*$  of nonlinear equation F(x) = 0 [7, 16]. In the case of Wang's conditions [20] we have for  $\mu = 0$ :

$$g(t) = \frac{\gamma t^2}{1 - \gamma t} - t, f(t) = \frac{\beta t^2}{1 - \beta t} - t, f_0(t) = \frac{\gamma t^2}{1 - \gamma t} - t,$$
  
$$\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \frac{\gamma t^2}{1 - \gamma t} - t + \eta,$$
(2.9)

$$\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t) = \frac{\beta t^2}{1 - \beta t} - t + \eta \tag{2.10}$$

with convergence criteria, given respectively by

$$H = \gamma \eta \le 3 - 2\sqrt{2} \tag{2.11}$$

$$H_1 = \beta \eta \le 3 - 2\sqrt{2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Then, again we have that

$$H \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2} \Longrightarrow H_1 \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

but not necessarily vice versa, unless if  $\beta = \gamma$ .

Concerning the error bounds and the limit of majorizing sequence, suppose that

$$-\frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(r)}{f_0'(r)} \le -\frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(s)}{f_0'(s)}$$

for each  $r, s \in [0, \mathbb{R}^*]$  with  $r \leq s$ . According to the proof of Theorem 2.1, sequence  $\{r_n\}$  is also a majorizing sequence for (1.2). Moreover, a simple induction argument shows that

$$r_n \le s_n, r_{n+1} - r_n \le s_{n+1} - s_n$$

and

$$r^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \le s^*.$$

Furthermore, the first two preceding inequalities are strict, for  $n \ge 2$  if  $f'_0(t) < f'(t)$  for each  $t \in [0, R^*]$ . Similarly, suppose that

$$-\frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(s)}{f_0'(s)} \le -\frac{\varphi_{\eta,\mu}(t)}{f_0'(t)}$$

for each  $s,t \in [0, \mathbb{R}^*]$  with  $s \leq t$ . Then, we have that

 $s_n \leq t_n, s_{n+1} - s_n \leq t_{n+1} - t_n.$ 

The first two preceding inequalities are also strict for  $n \ge 2$ , if strict inequality holds in (2.12).

Finally, the rest of the results in [5, 14] can be improved along the same lines by also using K instead of L. We leave the details to the motivated reader.

## 3. Numerical examples

We present a simple example where we show that Wang's condition (2.11) [20] is violated but our condition (2.12) is satisfied. More examples can be found in [7] where  $L_0 \le K \le L$  are satisfied as strict inequalities (therefore the new advantages apply) (or see also [19]).

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\mu = 0, p \in (0, 1), x_0 = 1, \Omega = B(x_0, \frac{1}{2-p})$  and define functions on  $\Omega$  by

$$f(x) = \frac{x^4}{4} - px, \ F(x) = x^3 - p.$$
(3.1)

Define  $\Omega^* = B(x_0, 1-p)$ . Then, we have

$$\Omega^* \subseteq \Omega, \text{ if } p \in [0.381966, 1). \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $L_0 = 3 - p$  and L = 2(2 - p). Then, Argyros showed in [8] that for each  $x, y \in \Omega$ 

$$|F'(x_0)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_0))| \le L_0|x - x_0|$$
(3.3)

and

$$|F'(x_0)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(y))| \le L|x - y|.$$
(3.4)

Consider the conditions

$$\|F'(x_0)^{-1}F''(x)\| \le \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\gamma\|x-x_0\|)^3}$$
(3.5)

for each  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$\|F'(x_0)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_0))\| \le \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma_0 \|x - x_0\|)^2} - 1$$
(3.6)

*for each*  $x \in \Omega$  *and* 

$$\|F'(x_0)^{-1}F''(x)\| \le \frac{2\beta}{(1-\beta\|x-x_0\|)^3}$$
(3.7)

for each  $x \in \Omega^*$ . Notice that functions  $\bar{\varphi}_{\eta,0}$ ,  $\varphi_{\eta,0}$  satisfy these conditions, respectively. In view of (3.4) and (3.5), we have  $L \leq 2\gamma$ , so we choose  $\gamma = 2 - p$ . Then, since  $\eta = \frac{1}{3}(1-p)$ , condition (2.11) is satisfied, if

$$0.6255179 \le p < 1. \tag{3.8}$$

We must have

$$B(x_0, (1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{1}{\gamma}) \subseteq B(x_0, 1-p)$$

which is true for

Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Modelling

$$0$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$0.6255179$$

1

Set  $y = \gamma_0 |x - x_0|$  and  $L_0 = d\gamma_0$ , d > 0,  $\gamma_0 > 0$ . Using (3.6) and (3.3), we must have

$$|L_0|x - x_0| \le rac{1}{(1 - \gamma_0 |x - x_0|)^2} - d(1 - y)^2 \le 2 - y$$

or

or

$$dy^2 + (1 - 2d)y + d - 2 \le 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Let e.g. d = 2, then  $\gamma_0 = \frac{L_0}{2} = \frac{3-p}{2}$  and (3.11) becomes  $(p-3)(p-1) \le 3$  or  $p(p-4) \le 0$ , which is true. We must show  $(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{1}{1/6} \le 1-p$  or  $p^2 - 4p + 1 + \sqrt{2} \ge 0$ , which is true for

$$0$$

Notice that  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ , since  $(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{1}{\gamma} < \frac{1}{\gamma}$  or  $p \leq 3 + \sqrt{2}$ , which is true, so

$$\Omega \cap \Omega_0 = \Omega_0. \tag{3.13}$$

*Then, for*  $x \in \Omega_0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |F'(x_0)^{-1}F''(x)| &= 2|x| \le 2(|x-x_0|+|x_0|) \\ &\le 2((1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{2}{3-p}+1) \end{aligned}$$

must be smaller than  $2\beta$ , so we can choose

$$\beta = 1 + (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{2}{3-p} = 1 + \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{3-p}.$$

Notice that  $\beta < \gamma$ , if (3.12) holds. We also have that  $\gamma_0 < \beta$ , if

$$\frac{3-p}{2} < 1 + \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{3-p}$$

 $p^2 - 4p - 1 + 2\sqrt{2} < 0$ 

or if

or, if

$$0.5263741$$

We also must have

$$(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\frac{1}{\beta} \le 1 - p$$

 $2p^2 + (\sqrt{2} - 10)p + 4 + \sqrt{2} < 0,$ 

or

 $p \le 0.767996.$  (3.15)

Then, notice that

$$1-p \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

if  $p^2 - 3p + 1 \le 0$ , which is true for

$$0.381966 \le p < 1. \tag{3.16}$$

*Then, we have that*  $\alpha_0 \le 3 - 2\sqrt{2} = q$ , *if*  $(1 + \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{3-p})\frac{1}{3}(1-p) \le q$  *or if* 

$$p^2 + (\sqrt{2} - 6 + 3q)p + 5 - \sqrt{2} - 9q \le 0,$$

which is true for

$$0.5857931 \le p < 1. \tag{3.17}$$

In view of (3.12), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17) we must have

$$0.5857931 \le p \le 0.7407199. \tag{3.18}$$

Define intervals I and  $I_1$  by

$$I = [0.5857931, 0.6255179) \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$I_1 = (0.7407199, 0.7631871]. \tag{3.20}$$

In view of (3.10), (3.19) and (3.20), we see that for  $p \in I$  [20] cannot guarantee the convergence of  $x_n$  to  $x^* = \sqrt[3]{p}$ . However, our Theorem 2.1 guarantees the convergence of  $x_n$  to  $x^*$ . Notice that, if  $p \in I_1$ , then we can set  $\beta = \gamma = \gamma_0$ .

Next, we compare the error bounds. Choose p = 0.623. Then, we have the following comparison table, which shows that the new error bounds are more precise than the ones in [20].

n	$r_{n+1}-r_n$	$t_{n+1}-t_n$
1	0.1257	0.1257
2	0.0268	0.0333
3	0.0013	0.0027
4	3.3384e-06	1.8199e-05
5	2.0876e-11	8.2197e-10

Table 1: Comparison table.

#### References

- Argyros, I.: On the semilocal convergence of the Gauss-Newton method. Adv. Nonlinear Var.Inequal. 8(2), 93-99, 2005.
- [2] Argyros, I., Hilout, S.: On the local convergence of the Gauss-Newton method. Punjab Univ. J.Math. 41, 23–33, 2009.
   [3] Argyros, I., Hilout, S.: On the Gauss-Newton method. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 1–14, 2010.
- [4] Argyros, I. K, Hilout, S.: Extending the applicability of the Gauss-Newton method under average Lipschitz-type conditions. Numer. Algorithms 58(1), 23–52, 2011.
- [5] Argyros, I. K. S. George, Expanding the applicability of the Gauss-Newton method for a certain class of systems of equations, J. Numer. Anal. Approx. Theory, vol., 45(1), 3–13, (2016). Argyros, I. K., Hilout, S.: Improved local convergence of Newton's method under weak majorant condition, Journal of Computational and Applied
- [6]
- [6] Hights, H.K. Hilout, S. Hightstear contriguence of non-section of the section of th
- [9] Catinas, E.: The inexact, inexact perturbed, and quasi-Newton methods are equivalent models, Math. Comput. 74, 249, (2005), 291-301.
- [10] Dedieu, J.P., Kim, M.H.: Newton's method for analytic systems of equations with constant rank derivatives. J. Complexity, 18(1): 187-209, 2002. [11] Ferreira, O.P., Gonçalves, M.L.N, Oliveira, P.R.:, Local convergence analysis of inexact Gauss-Newton like methods under majorant condition, J.
  - Complexity, 27(1), 111-125, 2011.
- [12] Ferreira, O.P., Svaiter, B.F.: Kantorovich's majorants principle for Newton's method. Comput.Optim. Appl. 42(2), 213–229, 2009.
- [13] Häussler, W.M.: A Kantorovich-type convergence analysis for the Gauss-Newton-method. Numer. Math. 48(1), 119–125, 1986.
- [14] Gonçalves, M.L.N, Oliveira, P.R.:, Convergence of the Gauss- Newton method for a special class of systems of equations under a majorant condition, Optimization, 64, 3(2015), 577-594.
- [15] Hu, N., Shen, W. and Li, C.: Kantorovich's type theorems for systems of equations with constant rank derivatives, J. Comput. Appl.Math., 219(1): 110-122, 2008.
- [16] Kantorovich, L.V., Akilov, G.P., Functional Analysis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [17] Li, C., Hu, N., Wang, J.: Convergence behavior of Gauss-Newton's method and extensions of the Smale point estimate theory. J. Complex. 26(3), 268-295, 2010. [18] Potra, F.A., Ptak, V.: Nondiscrete induction and iterative processes. Research notes in Mathematics, 103, Pitman(Aóvanced Publishing Program),
- Boston, MA, 1984. [19] Smale, S., Newton's method estimates from data at one point. The merging of disciplines: new directions in pure, applied, and computational
- mathematics (Laramie, Wyo., 1985), 185-196, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [20] Wang, X.H., Convergence of Newton's method and uniqueness of the solution of equations in Banach spaces, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 20, 123–134, 2000.